arXiv:1702.03143v1 [math.PR] 10 Feb 2017

EXTREMES OF TRANSIENT GAUSSIAN FLUID QUEUES

KRZYSZTOF DĘBICKI AND PENG LIU

Abstract: This contribution investigates asymptotic properties of transient queue length process

$$Q(t)=\max\left(x+X(t)-ct,\ \sup_{0\le s\le t}\left(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s)\right)\right),\quad t\ge 0$$

in Gaussian fluid queueing model, where input process X is modeled by a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments, $c>0$ is the output rate and $x=Q(0)\ge 0$. More specifically, under some mild conditions on X, exact asymptotics of

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Q(T_u)>u\right)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{[0,T_u]}Q(t)>u\right),$$

as $u\to\infty$, is derived. The play between u and T_u leads to two qualitatively different regimes: (A) short-time horizon when T_u is relatively small with respect to u; (B) moderate- or long-time horizon when T_u is asymptotically much larger than u. As a by-product, some implications for the speed of convergence to stationarity of the considered model are discussed.

Key Words: transient queue; overload probability; exact asymptotics; Gaussian process; generalized Pickands constant; generalized Piterbarg constant.

AMS Classification: Primary 60G15; secondary 60G70, 60K25

1. Introduction

The analysis of queueing systems with Gaussian input attracted substantial interest in last years. The importance of modelling input stream by a Gaussian process stems both from theory-oriented arguments, mainly based on *central limit theorem*-type results applied to multiplexed input streams (see, e.g., [3, 23, 33, 34]) and applied-oriented approach taking advantage of richness and flexibility of the class of Gaussian processes, allowing to model such phenomena as *long range dependence* or *self-similarity*.

Consider queue fed by a Gaussian process with stationary increments $X(t)$ and emptied at rate $c>\mathbb{E}\{X(1)\}$. Having the interpretation that, for $s<t$, $X(t)-X(s)$ is the amount of traffic having entered to the system in time interval $[s,t)$, we define the buffer content process $\{Q(t),t\ge 0\}$ by

$$Q(t)=\max\left(Q(0)+X(t)-ct,\ \sup_{0\le s\le t}\left(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s)\right)\right),\quad t\ge 0. \tag{1}$$

Vast majority of research on properties of $Q(t)$ deals with the corresponding *steady-state* solution of (1), which takes form

$$Q^*(t)=\sup_{-\infty\le s\le t}\left(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s)\right),\quad t\ge 0. \tag{2}$$

The primary focus here is on asymptotic tail probability that the steady state buffer content exceeds high level u, that is

$$\widehat{\pi}(u):=\mathbb{P}\left(Q^*(0)>u\right),\quad u\to\infty, \tag{3}$$

see [24, 18, 6, 19, 11] and references therein. Complementary findings deal with the probability that in a given time interval, say T_u, the stationary buffer content process reaches high level u, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\pi}_{T_u}(u):=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u]}Q^*(t)>u\right),\quad u\to\infty, \tag{4}$$

see, e.g., [28, 8]. We refer also to counterparts of (3,4) in *many-source* regime (e.g. [9] or monograph [20]) and recent results on asymptotics for extremes of related $\gamma-$reflected Gaussian processes [4, 17].

Substantially less is known on nonstationary (transient) characteristics of the queue content process (1), in particular, transient counterparts of (3) and (4). In this case the system additionally depends on the initial queue content at time $t = 0$ and on time at which it is analyzed, leading to more complicated structure of the queue process, which makes the analysis of the distribution of (1) more difficult. The topic of this paper stems straightforwardly from this open questions. More specifically, let us suppose that $Q(0) = x \geq 0$ and rewrite (1) as

$$Q(t) = \max\left(x + X(t) - ct, \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left(X(t) - X(s) - c(t-s)\right)\right), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{5}$$

This contribution is devoted to the analysis of the exact asymptotics of the tail distribution of the nonstationary workload $Q(t)$ defined by (5) at time T_u

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(Q(T_u) > u\right), \tag{6}$$

as $u \to \infty$. Additionally we derive the exact asymptotics of the overload probability of Q over a threshold-dependent interval $[0, T_u]$, i.e.,

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u]} Q(t) > u\right), \text{ as } u \to \infty. \tag{7}$$

It appears that the play between x, T_u and X leads to several scenarios which can be grouped according to the relation between T_u and u on: *short-time* and *moderate- or long-time* case. Then, within each of the above time-horizons, one faces several types of the asymptotics. The derived in this contribution results complement findings obtained for the stationary systems, see [24, 18, 19, 6, 11, 8] and extend results of [10], where (6, 7) were considered for the particular case $x = 0$ and $T_u = T > 0$. We note that the complexity of the derivations of main results of this contribution is substantially higher than that of the corresponding proofs in the above papers. This is reflected not only by several scenarios that have to be considered but also by the fact that some of the cases need a case-specific approach (see Section 3), that leads to new types of asymptotics that are not present in the stationary model. The main idea of the proofs is based on an extension of the *double sum* method, a technique which was originally developed for the study of asymptotics of suprema of Gaussian processes; see e.g. [25, 26, 30] and monographs [27, 29]. The model analyzed in this paper covers wide class of Gaussian inputs, including the celebrated *fractional Brownian motions* and *Gaussian integrated* processes.

The derived results shed some light on important issues related with the speed of convergence to stationarity of the queueing system in time; see [21] for works with fractional Brownian motion input. In particular, by comparing our findings with their counterparts for the stationary model, we arrive at two counterintuitive findings:

$\diamond$ For large u, the system which starts off with empty queue asymptotically faster reaches the steady state asymptotics than nonempty one. This situation is best seen when analysing $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$, where under the proviso that $x > 0$, in some cases T_u has to be exponentially large with respect to u in order to get

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u) \sim \widehat{\pi}^{\sup}_{T_u}(u), \tag{8}$$

while if $x = 0$ to have (8) it always suffices that T_u is superlinear with respect to u; see Section 4 for details.

$\diamond$ For large u and $Q(0) = x > 0$, asymptotics of $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$ tends to its stationary counterpart much slower for short-range dependent models than for long-range dependent inputs.

Organisation of the paper: Section 2 contains introduction of the model and the notation. The main results of the paper are presented in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to relations between (6,7) and (3,4). Some technical results that are useful in the proofs are given in Section 5. Section 6 contains detailed proofs of the main results.

2. Model description and preliminary result

Let $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a Gaussian process with stationary increments, a.s. continuous sample paths, $X(0) = 0$ a.s. and variance function $\sigma^2(t)$. With no loss of generality we assume that $X(t)$ is centered, i.e. $\mathbb{E}\{X(t)\} \equiv 0$, $t \geq 0$. We suppose that

AI: $\sigma^2(t) \sim A_\infty t^{2\alpha_\infty}$ as $t \to \infty$ with $A_\infty > 0, \alpha_\infty \in (0,1)$. Further, $\sigma^2(t)$ is twice continuously differentiable on $(0,\infty)$ with its first derivative $\dot{\sigma^2}$ and second derivative $\ddot{\sigma^2}$ being ultimately monotone at ∞.
AII $\sigma^2(t) \sim A_0 t^{2\alpha_0}$ as $t \to 0$ with $A_0 > 0, \alpha_0 \in (0,1]$.

We note that assumptions **AI-AII** cover all classical Gaussian input models considered in the literature, including *fractional Brownian motion* $X(t) = B_H(t)$ (i.e. $Var(X(t)) = t^{2H}$, with $H \in (0,1)$), see [24, 18], and *integrated Gaussian* inputs, where $X(t) = \int_0^t Z(s)ds$, with $Z(t)$ being a centered stationary Gaussian process with covariance function satisfying some standard conditions; see e.g. [6, 19, 11].
Following introduction, we consider queue fed by input process $X(t)$ and emptied at a constant rate $c > 0$. The queue content process $Q(t)$, with $x = Q(0) \geq 0$, is defined as in (5).
Using that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{x,T_u}(u) &= \pi_{0,T_u}(u) + \Psi\left(\frac{u - x + cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right) \\ &\quad -\mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u) - cT_u > u - x, \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T_u} (X(T_u) - X(s) - c(T_u - s)) > u\right) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u) &= \pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u) + \pi_{0,T_u}(u - x) \\ &\quad -\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_u} (X(t) - ct) > u - x, \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T_u} (X(t) - X(s) - c(t - s)) > u\right) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for the analysis of (6) and (7) it is convenient to focus first on the case $x = 0$. Thus in Section 3 we start with detailed asymptotic analysis of $\pi_{0,T_u}(u)$ and $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$, as $u \to \infty$.
Having that

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T_u]} X(t) - ct > u\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T_u]} \frac{X(t)}{u + ct} > 1\right),$$

function

$$m(u,t) := Var^{-1/2}\left(\frac{X(t)}{u + ct}\right) = \frac{u + ct}{\sigma(t)}$$

will play crucial role in further analysis. Let

$$t_u := \arg\min_{t \geq 0} m(u,t)$$

and observe that under **AI-AII** as shown in Lemma 5.4 later we have

$$t^* := \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{t_u}{u} = \frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1 - \alpha_\infty)}.$$

Next, we introduce

$$\Delta(u,s) = \overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2(s)}{u + cs}\right)$$

with $\overleftarrow{\sigma}$ the asymptotic inverse function of σ. Moreover, we denote by

$$A = \left(\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1 - \alpha_\infty)}\right)^{-\alpha_\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_\infty}, \quad B = \left(\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1 - \alpha_\infty)}\right)^{-\alpha_\infty - 2} \alpha_\infty. \tag{11}$$

Finally, we introduce constants that appear in the derived asymptotics. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_X[0,S] = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\sup_{t \in [0,S]}\left(\sqrt{2}X(t) - \sigma^2(t)\right)}\right\}, \quad \mathcal{P}^f_X[0,S] = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\sup_{t \in [0,S]}\left(\sqrt{2}X(t) - \sigma^2(t) - f(t)\right)}\right\},$$

where X is a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments that satisfies **AI-AII**, f is a nonnegative function over $[0,\infty)$ and $S>0$. The *generalized Pickands* and *Piterbarg constants* are defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_X := \lim_{S\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_X[0,S]}{S}, \quad \mathcal{P}_X^f := \lim_{S\to\infty} \mathcal{H}_X^f[0,S]$$

respectively. We refer to, e.g., [2, 4, 6, 14, 12, 13, 7, 15, 16, 22, 32] for the proof of existence and properties of (generalized) Pickands and Piterbarg constants, simulation issues and their relations to max-stable processes. Additionally, for $\widetilde{X}$, an independent copy of Gaussian process X, $X^*(t) := \sqrt{2}X(t) - \sigma_X^2(t) - f(t)$ and $\widetilde{X}^*(t) := \sqrt{2}\widetilde{X}(t) - \sigma_{\widetilde{X}}^2(t) - f(t)$, we introduce

$$\mathcal{P}_X^{f,a}[0,S] = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\max\left(a, \sup_{t\in[0,S]} X^*(t)\right)}\right\},$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_X^{f,a}[0,S] = \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\max\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]} a+X^*(t), \sup_{s,t\in[0,S]} X^*(t)+\widetilde{X}^*(s)\right)}\right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_X^{f,a} := \lim_{S\to\infty} \mathcal{P}_X^{f,a}[0,S], \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_X^{f,a} := \lim_{S\to\infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_X^{f,a}[0,S].$$

These constants appear in the asymptotics of (6) and (7) for some considered in next section scenarios.

In the rest of the paper by $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\Psi(\cdot)$ we denote the distribution and the tail distribution function of a standard Gaussian random variable respectively. We write $f(u)\sim g(u)$ to denote the asymptotic equivalence $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{f(u)}{g(u)}=1$. Before proceeding to main results of this paper that deal with the case where $T_u\to\infty$, as $u\to\infty$, we provide a preliminary finding that covers the easier case $T_u=T>0$. The asymptotics of $\pi_{x,T}^{\sup}(u)$ is reduced in this case to $\pi_{0,T}(u-x)$, which was analyzed in [10].

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $\dot{\sigma^2}(t)>0$ for $t\in(0,T]$ and* **AII** *holds. Then for $T\in(0,\infty)$ and $x>0$, as $u\to\infty$,*

$$\pi_{x,T}(u) \sim \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT}{\sigma(T)}\right),$$

and

$$\pi_{x,T}^{\sup}(u) \sim \pi_{0,T}(u-x).$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is deferred to Section 6. In next section we tacitly assume that $T_u\to\infty$, as $u\to\infty$.

3. Main results

The asymptotics of $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)$ and $\pi_{x,T_u}^{\sup}(u)$, as $u\to\infty$, strongly depends on the relation between T_u and u, leading to two separate scenarios: i) short-time horizon and ii) moderate- or long-time horizon, which we analyze separately.

3.1. Short-time horizon.

In this section we consider the case where T_u is relatively small with comparison to u. More precisely, we suppose that $T_u\to\infty$, as $u\to\infty$, and

T1 $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u}{u}=\gamma\in[0,t^*)$.

Let

$$\varphi := \lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u}{u^{1/(2\alpha_\infty)}} \in [0,\infty]$$

and define

$$\mu_\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} B_{\alpha_0}(t), & \text{if } \varphi=0 \\ \frac{1+c\gamma}{\sqrt{2}A_\infty\varphi^{2\alpha_\infty}} X\left(\overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}A_\infty\varphi^{2\alpha_\infty}}{1+c\gamma}\right)t\right), & \text{if } \varphi\in(0,\infty) \\ B_{\alpha_\infty}(t), & \text{if } \varphi=\infty. \end{cases}$$

Due to equations (9), (10) it is convenient first to analyze the system that starts off with empty queue.

$\diamond$ Case $Q(0)=0$. In this scenario the asymptotic behaviour of

$$\Omega(u,T_u) := \frac{m^2(u,T_u)\Delta(u,T_u)}{T_u}$$

as $u\to\infty$ leads to three qualitatively different cases.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that T_u satisfies* **T1**.
i) If $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=0$, *then*

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u) \quad\sim\quad \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)^{-1}\Omega^{-1}(u,T_u)\Psi(m(u,T_u)).$$

ii) If $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\Omega_\infty\in(0,\infty)$, *then*

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma})t}\Psi(m(u,T_u))$$

with $\varphi\in[0,\infty)$.
iii) If $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\infty$, *then*

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\Psi(m(u,T_u)).$$

Now, let us proceed to the asymptotics of $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$ for short-time horizon scenario.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that T_u satisfies* **T1**.
i) If $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=0$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\sim(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}})^2\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)^{-2}\Omega^{-2}(u,T_u)\Psi(m(u,T_u)).$$

ii) If $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\Omega_\infty\in(0,\infty)$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma})t}\right)^2\Psi(m(u,T_u))$$

with $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$.
iii) If $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\infty$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\Psi(m(u,T_u)).$$

$\diamond$ Case $Q(0)>0$. Now we analyze asymptotic properties of the system that starts off with nonempty queue; up to the end of this subsection we tacitly suppose that $x=Q_x(0)>0$. In order to make the results of this case more transparent, we present the derived asymptotics in the language of $\pi_{0,T_u}(u)$ and $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$ respectively, which were derived in previous section.

We begin with derivation of the asymptotics of $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)$, as $u\to\infty$. Following observation (9), if one of terms $\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)$ or $\pi_{0,T_u}(u)$ is asymptotically dominant, then it rules the asymptotic behavior of $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)$. The situation when $\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)=O(\pi_{0,T_u}(u))$ is particularly delicate. Its proof needs a case-specific analysis and leads to a separate form of the asymptotics; see case $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$ in theorem below.

Let, for $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$, $a_1=\frac{1+c\varphi}{\sqrt{2}A_\infty\varphi}$ and $a_2=\frac{(1+c\varphi)^2}{A_\infty\varphi^2}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi}\right)$. We note that assumption **T1** together with $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$ implies that $\alpha_\infty\geq 1/2$.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that T_u satisfies* **T1**.
i) If $\varphi=0$, *then*

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right).$$

ii)If $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$, *then*

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\begin{cases}\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right), & \text{if } \alpha_\infty>1/2\\ \mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}\Psi(m(u,T_u)), & \text{if } \alpha_\infty=1/2.\end{cases}$$

iii) If $\varphi=\infty$, *then*

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u).$$

The asymptotics of $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$ behaves qualitatively similarly to the asymptotics of $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)$, with the value of φ making distinction between the case where $\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)$ or $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$ is dominant or $\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)=O(\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u))$. Again the proof of the intermediate case ($\varphi\in(0,\infty)$) needs case-specific approach.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that T_u satisfies* **T1**.
i) If $\varphi=0$, then

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x).$$

ii)If $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$, then

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\begin{cases}\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x), & \text{if } \alpha_\infty>1/2\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}_{a_1X}\Psi(m(u,T_u)), & \text{if } \alpha_\infty=1/2.\end{cases}$$

iii) If $\varphi=\infty$, then

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u).$$

We observe that, under **T1** combined with $\varphi=\infty$, by Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, the asymptotics of $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)$ and $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$, as $u\to\infty$, doesn't depend on the initial buffer content x.

3.2. **Moderate- and long-time horizon.** Now, let us proceed to the case that T_u is "moderate" or "large" with comparison to u. To be more precise, in this section we suppose that

T2 $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{u^{\alpha_\infty}}=\omega\in(-\infty,\infty]$.

Recall that $t_u\sim t^*u=\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1-\alpha_\infty)}u$, as $u\to\infty$. We note that, if $\omega\in(-\infty,\infty)$, then T_u is asymptotically close to t_u (moderate-time horizon), while $\omega=\infty$ deals with the case where T_u is relatively large with comparison to t_u (long-time horizon).
Additionally, in order to ensure that $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$, $x\geq0$, tends to 0 as $u\to\infty$, we introduce assumption

T3 $T_u=o(e^{\beta u^{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}})$ for some $\beta\in\left(0,\frac{(1+ct^*)^2}{2A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}\right)$.

Let

$$\eta_{\alpha_\infty}(t)=\begin{cases}B_{\alpha_0}(t), & \text{if } \alpha_\infty<1/2\\ \frac{1+ct^*}{\sqrt{2}A_\infty t^*}X\left(\overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}A_\infty t^*}{1+ct^*}\right)t\right), & \text{if } \alpha_\infty=1/2\\ B_{\alpha_\infty}(t), & \text{if } \alpha_\infty>1/2.\end{cases}\tag{12}$$

Analogously to short-time horizon scenario investigated in Section 3.1, we separately consdier the case of empty and nonempty system at $t=0$.

$\diamond$ Case $Q(0)=0$. We begin with the asymptotic analysis of $\pi_{0,T_u}(u)$ under **T2**.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that T_u satisfies* **T2**. *Then*

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u)\quad\sim\quad\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{AA_\infty}}\frac{(1+ct^*)\omega}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}\right)\Psi(m(u,t_u)).$$

Now let us focus on $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$. In this case finiteness/infiniteness of ω determines the asymptotics. We note that for $\omega=\infty$ the asymptotics derived below is linear with respect to T_u-t_u.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that T_u satisfies* **T2-T3**.
i) If $\omega\in(-\infty,\infty)$, then

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\sim(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}})^2\frac{2A}{B}\left(\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\right)^2\int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\frac{B}{2AA_\infty}}\frac{1+ct^*}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}\omega}\int_0^\infty e^{-(v-z)^2}dvdz\ \Psi(m(u,t_u)).$$

ii) If $\omega=\infty$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\sim(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}})^2\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u)).$$

$\diamond$ Case $Q(0)>0$. Up to the end of this section we suppose that the queue is nonempty at time $t=0$, i.e. $x=Q_x(0)>0$. It appears that, under **T2**, this scenario delivers qualitatively different types of the asymptotics.
We begin with the analysis of $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)$.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that* T_u *satisfies* **T2**.
i) If $\alpha_\infty<1/2$ *and* $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{u^{1/2}}=\vartheta\in[0,\infty]$, *then*

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\begin{cases}\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right), & \text{if } \vartheta<\sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x},\\ \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)+\pi_{0,T_u}(u), & \text{if } \vartheta=\sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x},\\ \pi_{0,T_u}(u), & \text{if } \vartheta>\sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x}.\end{cases}$$

ii) If $\alpha_\infty\geq1/2$, *then*

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u).$$

The asymptotics of $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$, given in the theorem below, substantially differs from the asymptotics of $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$ derived in Theorem 3.6. In particular, the case of $\alpha_\infty<1/2$ is of special interest, where the transition from $\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)$ to $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$ appears for very large T_u, i.e. if T_u is of order $e^{\mathcal{C}u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}$ for $\mathcal{C}=\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}x$, with $x=Q(0)$. We comment on this in Section 4.

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that* **T2-T3** *hold.*
i) If $\alpha_\infty<1/2$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\begin{cases}\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x), & \text{if } \limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\ln(T_u)}{u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}<\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}x\\ \pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)+\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u), & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\ln(T_u)}{u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}=\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}x\\ \pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u), & \text{if } \liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\ln(T_u)}{u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}>\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}x.\end{cases}$$

ii) If $\alpha_\infty\geq1/2$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u).$$

4. Speed of convergence to stationarity

This section is devoted to some remarks on the speed of convergence of the distribution of $Q_x(T_u)$ to its stationary counterpart $Q^*(0)$. Comparison of the results derived in Section 3 with their stationary counterparts given in [11] and [8] allows us to give some inside into this issues.
Following the notation introduced in Section 1, let $Q^*(t)$ denote the stationary buffer content process defined by (2) and $\widehat{\pi}(u):=\mathbb{P}\left(Q^*(0)>u\right)$.
Straightforward combination of results in [11] with Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7 leads to the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that* T_u *satisfies* **T2**.
i) If $Q(0)=0$, *then*

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{AA_\infty}}\frac{(1+ct^*)\omega}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}\right)\widehat{\pi}(u).$$

ii) If $Q(0)=x>0$, $\alpha_\infty<1/2$ *and* $\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{\sqrt{u}}<\sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x}$, *then*

$$\widehat{\pi}(u)=o(\pi_{x,T_u}(u)).$$

iii) If $Q(0)=x>0$, $\alpha_\infty<1/2$ *and* $\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{\sqrt{u}}>\sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x}$, *then*

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\widehat{\pi}(u).$$

iv) If $Q(0)=x>0$ *and* $\alpha_\infty\geq 1/2$, *then*

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{AA_\infty}}\frac{(1+ct^*)\omega}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}\right)\widehat{\pi}(u).$$

From the above proposition we see that for $\alpha_\infty<1/2$, which corresponds to *short-range dependent* structure of the input process X in the sense that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{Cov}(X(k)-X(k-1),X(1))<\infty$, the system reaches stationary asymptotics faster if it starts off with empty queue (i.e. $Q(0)=0$) with comparison to nonempty system at time $t=0$. For $\alpha_\infty\geq 1/2$, the initial content of the queue doesn't influence the speed of convergence to stationary asymptotics.

Now, let us proceed to comparison of $\pi^{\sup}_{T_u}(u)$ with its stationary counterpart $\widehat{\pi}^{\sup}_{T_u}(u):=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u]}Q^*(t)>u\right)$. Combination of Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8 with [8] gives the following result with the convention that $\frac{\infty}{\infty}=1$.

Proposition 4.2. *Supppose that* $\mathbf{T2}-\mathbf{T3}$ *hold.*
i) If $Q(0)=0$, $T_u=\gamma u(1+o(1))$ *with* $\gamma\in[t^*,\infty]$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\frac{(\gamma-t^*)^+}{\gamma}\widehat{\pi}^{\sup}_{T_u}(u).$$

ii) If $Q(0)=x>0$, $\alpha_\infty<1/2$ *and* $\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\ln(T_u)}{u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}<\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}x$, *then*

$$\widehat{\pi}^{\sup}_{T_u}(u)=o(\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)).$$

iii)If $Q(0)=x>0$, $\alpha_\infty<1/2$ *and* $\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\ln(T_u)}{u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}>\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}x$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\widehat{\pi}^{\sup}_{T_u}(u).$$

iv) If $Q(0)=x>0$, $\alpha_\infty\geq 1/2$ *and* $T_u=\gamma u(1+o(1))$ *with* $\gamma\in[t^*,\infty]$, *then*

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\frac{(\gamma-t^*)^+}{\gamma}\widehat{\pi}^{\sup}_{T_u}(u).$$

Proposition 4.2 informally implies that, for large u and $Q(0)=x>0$, $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$ tends to its stationary counterpart much slower for short-range dependent models than for long-range dependent inputs. More precisely, if $\alpha_\infty<1/2$, then one needs $T_u\gg e^{\mathcal{C}u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}$ with $\mathcal{C}=\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}x$ to get rid of the influence of the initial (at time $t=0$) queue content, while for $\alpha_\infty\geq 1/2$ it always suffices that T_u grows to ∞ a bit faster than linearly.

5. Auxiliary lemmas

In this section we display some technical lemmas that will be helpful in the forthcoming proofs. We begin with two lemmas that are accommodated to our needs versions of Theorem 3.5 in [5].
Let η be a centered continuous Gaussian process with stationary increments, $\eta(0)=0$ and variance function satisfying **AI-AII**. Let $X_u(t),t\in[a(u),b(u)]$ with $0\in[a(u),b(u)]$ be a family of centered continuous Gaussian process with variance function $\sigma_u^2(t)$ satisfying, as $u\to\infty$,

$$\sigma_u(0)=1,\quad 1-\sigma_u(t)\sim\frac{|t|^\beta}{g(u)},\quad t\in[a(u),b(u)], \tag{13}$$

with $\beta>0$, $\lim_{u\to\infty}g(u)=\infty$, $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{|a(u)|^\beta+|b(u)|^\beta}{g(u)}=0$, and correlation function satisfying

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{s,t\in[a(u),b(u)],s\neq t}\left|n^2(u)\frac{1-Corr(X_u(s),X_u(t))}{\frac{Var(\eta(\Delta(u)|s-t|))}{Var(\eta(\Delta(u)))}}-1\right|=0, \tag{14}$$

with $\lim_{u\to\infty} n(u) = \infty$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty} \Delta(u) = \theta \in [0,\infty]$. Let

$$V_\theta(t) = \begin{cases} B_{\alpha_0}(t), & \theta = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{Var(\eta(\theta))}}\eta(\theta t), & \theta \in (0,\infty) \\ B_{\alpha_\infty}(t), & \theta = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Next, suppose that $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{n^2(u)}{g(u)} = \nu \in [0,\infty]$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $X_u(t), t \in [a(u), b(u)]$ with $0 \in [a(u), b(u)]$, be a family of centered continuous Gaussian processes satisfying (13) and (14).*
i)If $\nu = 0$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{(n(u))^{2/\beta} a(u)}{(g(u))^{1/\beta}} = y_1$, $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{(n(u))^{2/\beta} b(u)}{(g(u))^{1/\beta}} = y_2$, $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{(n(u))^{2/\beta}(a^2(u)+b^2(u))}{(g(u))^{2/\beta}} = 0$, with $-\infty \le y_1 < y_2 \le \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[a(u),b(u)]} X_u(t) > n(u)\right) \sim \mathcal{H}_{V_\theta} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} e^{-|s|^\beta} ds \left(\frac{g(u)}{n^2(u)}\right)^{1/\beta} \Psi(n(u)).$$

ii) If $\nu \in (0,\infty)$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty} a(u) = a \in [-\infty, 0], \lim_{u\to\infty} b(u) = b \in [0,\infty]$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[a(u),b(u)]} X_u(t) > n(u)\right) \sim \mathcal{P}^h_{V_\theta}[a,b]\Psi(n(u)),$$

where $h(t) = \nu|t|^\beta$.
iii) If $\nu = \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[a(u),b(u)]} X_u(t) > n(u)\right) \sim \Psi(n(u)).$$

Next we give a two-dimensional couterpart of Lemma 5.1.
Let $X_u(s,t), (s,t) \in \prod_{i=1,2}[a_i(u), b_i(u)]$ with $0 \in \prod_{i=1,2}[a_i(u), b_i(u)]$, be a family of centered continuous Gaussian random fields with variance function $\sigma_u(s,t)$ satisfying, as $u \to \infty$,

$$\sigma_u(0,0) = 1, \quad 1 - \sigma_u(s,t) \sim \frac{|s|^{\beta_1} + |t|^{\beta_2}}{g(u)}, \quad (s,t) \in E_u, \tag{15}$$

with $\beta_i > 0, i = 1,2$, $\lim_{u\to\infty} g(u) = \infty$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{|a_i(u)|^{\beta_1} + |b_i(u)|^{\beta_2}}{g(u)} = 0, i = 1,2$, and correlation function satisfying

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{(s,t),(s',t')\in\prod_{i=1,2}[a_i(u),b_i(u)],(s,t)\neq(s',t')} \left| n^2(u) \frac{1 - Corr(X_u(s,t), X_u(s',t'))}{\frac{Var(\eta(\Delta(u)|s-s'|)) + Var(\eta(\Delta(u)|t-t'|))}{Var(\eta(\Delta(u)))}} - 1 \right| = 0, \tag{16}$$

with $\lim_{u\to\infty} n(u) = \infty$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty} \Delta(u) = \theta \in [0,\infty]$.
As previously, we suppose that $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{n^2(u)}{g(u)} = \nu \in [0,\infty]$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $X_u(s,t), (s,t) \in \prod_{i=1,2}[a_i(u), b_i(u)]$ with $0 \in \prod_{i=1,2}[a_i(u), b_i(u)]$ be a family of centered continuous Gaussian random fields satisfying (15) and (16).*
i) If $\nu = 0$ and for $1 \le i \le 2$,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{(n(u))^{2/\beta_i} a_i(u)}{(g_i(u))^{1/\beta_i}} = y_{i,1}, \quad \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{(n(u))^{2/\beta_i} b_i(u)}{(g_i(u))^{1/\beta_i}} = y_{i,2}, \quad \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{(n(u))^{2/\beta_i}(a_i^2(u) + b_i^2(u))}{(g_i(u))^{2/\beta_i}} = 0,$$

with $-\infty \le y_{i,1} < y_{i,2} \le \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\prod_{i=1,2}[a_i(u),b_i(u)]} X_u(s,t) > n(u)\right) \sim (\mathcal{H}_{V_\theta})^2 \prod_{i=1}^2 \int_{y_{i,1}}^{y_{i,2}} e^{-|s|^{\beta_i}} ds \prod_{i=2}^2 \left(\frac{g(u)}{n^2(u)}\right)^{1/\beta_i} \Psi(n(u)).$$

ii) If $\nu \in (0,\infty)$ and further $\lim_{u\to\infty} a_i(u) = a_i \in [-\infty, 0], \lim_{u\to\infty} b_i(u) = b_i \in [0,\infty]$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\prod_{i=1,2}[a_i(u),b_i(u)]} X_u(s,t) > n(u)\right) \sim \prod_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{P}^{h_i}_{V_\theta}[a_i,b_i]\Psi(n(u)),$$

where $h_i(t)=\nu|t|^{\beta_i}, 1\le i\le 2$.

iii) If $\nu=\infty$, *then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\prod_{i=1,2}[a_i(u),b_i(u)]} X_u(s,t)>n(u)\right)\sim\Psi(n(u)).$$

We next focus on the analysis of the behavior of variance and correlation functions of the related Gaussian processes and Gaussian fields. Hereafter, let $\overline{X}:=\frac{X}{\sqrt{Var(X)}}$ and denote by $\dot{h}$ and $\ddot{h}$ the first and second derivative of twice continuously differentiable function h respectively. Furthermore, for X being a Gaussian processes with stationary increments satisfying **AI-AII**, set

$$r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)=\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{X(ut)-X(us)}{\sigma(u(t-s))}\frac{X(ut_1)-X(us_1)}{\sigma(u(t_1-s_1))}\right\},\quad s\neq t, s_1\neq t_1. \tag{17}$$

Suppose for a while that **T1** holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{0,T_u}(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u]} X(t)-ct>u\right)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X(T_ut)-cT_ut>u\right)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \frac{X(T_ut)}{u+cT_ut}>1\right)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \frac{X(T_ut)}{u+cT_ut}m(u,T_u)>m(u,T_u)\right). \qquad (18)\end{aligned}$$

In the following lemma, we derive local behavior of $Var^{1/2}\left(\frac{X(T_ut)}{u+cT_ut}m(u,T_u)\right)=\frac{m(u,T_u)}{m(u,T_ut)}$, as $t\to 1$.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that* **T1** *and* **AI** *are satisfied. Then for* u *sufficiently large, the unique minimizer of* $m(u,\cdot)$ *over* $[0,T_u]$ *is* T_u. *Moreover, for each* u *sufficiently large,*

$$\frac{m(u,T_u)}{m(u,T_ut)}=1-a_u(1-t)(1+o(1)),\quad t\to 1,$$

where $a_u\to\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}$.

The proof of this Lemma is postponed to Appendix. Analogously, if **T2** is satisfied, then

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u/u]}\frac{X(ut)}{u(1+ct)}m(u,t_u)>m(u,t_u)\right),$$

with $Var^{1/2}\left(\frac{X(ut)}{u(1+ct)}m(u,t_u)\right)=\frac{m(u,t_u)}{m(u,ut)}$. Recall that $t^*=\frac{\alpha_\infty}{c(1-\alpha_\infty)}$ and $t_u=\arg\min_{t\ge 0} m(u,t)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that* **AI** *is satisfied. For* u *large enough* t_u *is unique, and* $t_u/u\to t^*$, *as* $u\to\infty$, *and* $m(u,\cdot)$ *is increasing over* $[t_u,\infty)$. *Moreover, for each* u *sufficiently large,*

$$\frac{m(u,t_u)}{m(u,ut)}=1-b_u(t-t_u/u)^2(1+o(1)),\quad t\to t_u/u,$$

where $b_u\to\frac{B}{2A}$ *with* A,B *defined in (11).*

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that* **AI, AII** *hold. Then for any* $T_u\to\infty,\delta_u\to 0$, *as* $u\to\infty$,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(s,t)\neq(s_1,t_1),|t-s-t_u/u|,|t_1-s_1-t_u/u|,|t-t_1|<\delta_u}\left|\frac{1-r_u(s,t,s_1,t_1)}{\frac{\sigma^2(u|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(u|t-t_1|)}{2\sigma^2(ut^*)}}-1\right|=0,$$

and

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(s,t)\neq(s_1,t_1),t,t_1\in[1-\delta_u,1],s,s_1\in[0,\delta_u]}\left|\frac{1-r_{T_u}(s,t,s_1,t_1)}{\frac{\sigma^2(T_u|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(T_u|t-t_1|)}{2\sigma^2(T_u)}}-1\right|=0.$$

The proofs of Lemmas 5.4-5.5 can be found in, e.g., [8].

This section in concluded by the study of the limit of $\Omega(u,T_u)$, which determines the asymptotics for short-time horizon case.

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that* **T1** *is satisfied.*

i) If $\varphi=0$, *then* $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)\in[0,\infty]$.

ii) If $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$, *then* $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)\in(0,\infty]$.

iii) If $\varphi=\infty$, *then* $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\infty$.

The proof of Lemma 5.6 is postponed to Appendix.

6. Proofs of main results

In the rest of the paper, by $\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Q}_i, i=1,2,3,\dots$ we denote some positive constants that may differ from line to line. If multiple limits appear, we shall write $f_u(S,S_1,\epsilon)\sim f^*(u), u\to\infty, S\to\infty, S_1\to\infty,\epsilon\to 0$ to mean that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{S_1\to\infty}\lim_{S\to\infty}\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{f_u(S,S_1,\epsilon)}{f^*(u)}=1.$$

Let $f_\lambda(t)=\frac{\sigma^2(t)}{t^\lambda}, t>0$ with $\lambda\in(0,\min(2\alpha_0,2\alpha_\infty))$. Following **AI-AII**, f_λ is a regularly varying function at 0 and ∞ with index $2\alpha_0-\lambda$ and $2\alpha_\infty-\lambda$ respectively. For any $T>0$, by uniform convergence theorem, e.g. [1], we have that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{t\in(0,T]}\left|\frac{f_\lambda(ut)}{f_\lambda(u)}-t^{2\alpha_\infty-\lambda}\right|=0,$$

implying that for $\lambda\in(0,\min(2\alpha_0,2\alpha_\infty))$ and u sufficiently large,

$$\frac{\sigma^2(ut)}{\sigma^2(u)}=\frac{f_\lambda(ut)}{f_\lambda(u)}t^\lambda\le 2T^{2\alpha_\infty-\lambda}t^\lambda,\quad t\in(0,T]. \tag{19}$$

6.1. Proof of Proposition 2.1.

Observe that

$$\pi_{0,T}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\frac{X(t)}{u+ct}\frac{u+cT}{\sigma(T)}>\frac{u+cT}{\sigma(T)}\right).$$

One can easily check that $\sup_{0\le t\le T}Var\left(\frac{X(t)}{u+ct}\frac{u+cT}{\sigma(T)}\right)=1$. Moreover, by **AII**, there exists $C>0$ such that for all $0\le s\le t\le T$,

$$\frac{(u+cT)^2}{\sigma^2(T)}\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\frac{X(t)}{u+ct}-\frac{X(s)}{u+cs}\right)^2\right\}\le 2\frac{(u+cT)^2}{\sigma^2(T)}\left(\frac{\sigma^2(|t-s|)}{(u+ct)^2}+\frac{c^2\sigma^2(s)(t-s)^2}{(u+ct)^2(u+cs)^2}\right)\le C|t-s|^{\alpha_0}.$$

Hence by Lemma 5.1 in [4], we have for u sufficiently large,

$$\pi_{0,T}(u)\le C_1\left(\frac{u+cT}{\sigma(T)}\right)^{2/\alpha_0}\Psi\left(\frac{u+cT}{\sigma(T)}\right)$$

with $C_1>0$ a constant, implying that, as $u\to\infty$,

$$\pi_{0,T}(u)=o\left(\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT}{\sigma(T)}\right)\right).$$

Thus in view of (9)

$$\pi_{x,T}(u)\sim\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT}{\sigma(T)}\right),\quad u\to\infty.$$

Similar argument based on (10) leads to the conclusion that

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T}(u-x),\quad u\to\infty,$$

where the asymptotics of $\pi_{0,T}(u-x)$ as $u\to\infty$ can be found in [10]. This completes the proof. □

6.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The idea of the proof is based on the observation, by (18), that

$$\Pi_1(u) \le \pi_{0,T_u}(u) \le \Pi_1(u) + \Pi_2(u), \tag{20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_1(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in E(u)} \frac{X(T_u t)}{u+cT_u t} m(u,T_u) > m(u,T_u)\right), \quad E(u) = [1-((\ln m(u,T_u))/m(u,T_u))^2, 1],\\ \Pi_2(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in [0,1]\setminus E(u)} \frac{X(T_u t)}{u+cT_u t} m(u,T_u) > m(u,T_u)\right).\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we shall derive the exact asymptotics of $\Pi_1(u)$ by applying Lemma 5.1 and show that $\Pi_2(u)$ is asymptotically negligible compared with $\Pi_1(u)$ as $u\to\infty$.

Analysis of $\Pi_1(u)$. In order to apply Lemma 5.1 , we rewrite

$$\Pi_1(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,b(u)]} X_u(t) > m(u,T_u)\right),$$

where

$$X_u(t) := \frac{X(T_u - \Delta(u,T_u)t)}{u+cT_u - c\Delta(u,T_u)t} m(u,T_u), t\in[0,b(u)], \quad \text{with } b(u) = ((\ln m(u,T_u))/m(u,T_u))^2 \frac{T_u}{\Delta(u,T_u)}.$$

Let $\sigma_u(t) = \sqrt{Var(X_u(t))}$, $\quad g(u) = \frac{T_u}{a_u \Delta(u,T_u)}$, with a_u defined in Lemma 5.3.

In light of Lemma 5.3 combined with Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\sigma_u(0) = 1, \quad 1-\sigma_u(t) \sim \frac{t}{g(u)}, t\in[0,b(u)], \quad \lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{s,t\in[0,b(u)],s\neq t} \left| \frac{m^2(u,T_u)(1-Corr(X_u(s),X_u(t)))}{\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,T_u)|s-t|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,T_u))}} - 1\right| = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\nu = \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{m^2(u,T_u)}{g(u)} = \left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right) \lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u), \quad \lim_{u\to\infty} g(u) = \lim_{u\to\infty} m(u,T_u) = \infty, \quad \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{b(u)}{g(u)} = 0.$$

Hence, if $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u) = 0$, then using that

$$y_1 = 0, y_2 = \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{b(u)m^2(u,T_u)}{g(u)} = \infty, \quad \lim_{u\to\infty} \left(\frac{b(u)}{g(u)}\right)^2 m^2(u,T_u) = 0,$$

i) of Lemma 5.1 leads to, as $u\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_1(u) &\sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} e^{-t}dt \left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)^{-1} \Omega^{-1}(u,T_u)\Psi(m(u,T_u))\\ &\sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t}dt \left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)^{-1} \Omega^{-1}(u,T_u)\Psi(m(u,T_u))\\ &\sim \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} \left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)^{-1} \Omega^{-1}(u,T_u)\Psi(m(u,T_u)).\end{aligned}$$

If $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u) = \Omega_\infty \in (0,\infty)$, then $\nu = \left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)\Omega_\infty$ and

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \Delta(u,T_u) = \overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2(T_u)}{u+cT_u}\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \varphi = 0\\ \overleftarrow{\sigma}(\frac{\sqrt{2}A_\infty \varphi^{2\alpha_\infty}}{1+c\gamma}), & \text{if } \varphi\in(0,\infty).\end{cases}$$

Note that due to Lemma 5.6, we can exclude case $\varphi=\infty$. Thus, by case ii) in Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\Pi_1(u) \sim \mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma})t} \Psi(m(u,T_u)).$$

If $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u) = \infty$, in light of case iii) in Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\Pi_1(u) \sim \Psi(m(u,T_u)).$$

Analysis of $\Pi_2(u)$. Due to Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]\setminus E(u)} Var\left(\frac{X(T_u t)}{u+cT_u t} m(u,T_u)\right) \le 1 - \mathbb{Q}(m(u,T_u))^{-2}(\ln m(u,T_u))^2.$$

Moreover, by (19) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\frac{X(T_u t)}{u+cT_u t}m(u,T_u)-\frac{X(T_u s)}{u+cT_u s}m(u,T_u)\right)^2\right\}\leq \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\sigma^2(T_u|t-s|)}{\sigma^2(T_u)}+|t-s|^2\right)\leq \mathbb{Q}|t-s|^{\lambda},$$

with $0<\lambda<\min(2\alpha_0,2\alpha_\infty)$. Thus by Lemma 5.1 in [4] (or Theorem 8.1 in [27]) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_2(u) &\leq \mathbb{Q}(m(u,T_u))^{2/\lambda}\Psi\left(\frac{m(u,T_u)}{\sqrt{1-\mathbb{Q}(m(u,T_u))^{-2}(\ln m(u,T_u))^2}}\right)\\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}(m(u,T_u))^{2/\lambda}e^{-\mathbb{Q}(\ln m(u,T_u))^2}\Psi\left(m(u,T_u)\right))\\ &= o\left(\Pi_1(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\pi_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\Pi_1(u)$, as $u\to\infty$, which completes the proof. □

6.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.2.** The strategy of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 with the exception that instead of Lemma 5.1 we shall apply Lemma 5.2. It is useful to make the following transformation.

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq t\leq T_u}(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s))>u\right)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq t\leq 1}(X(T_u t)-X(T_u s)-cT_u(t-s))>u\right)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq t\leq 1}\left(\frac{X(T_u t)-X(T_u s)}{u+cT_u(t-s)}\right)>1\right)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq t\leq 1}\left(\frac{X(T_u t)-X(T_u s)}{u+cT_u(t-s)}m(u,T_u)\right)>m(u,T_u)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

Observe that

$$\Pi^{\sup}_1(u)\leq\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\leq\Pi^{\sup}_1(u)+\Pi^{\sup}_2(u)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{\sup}_1(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E_1(u)}\frac{X(T_u t)-X(T_u s)}{u+cT_u(t-s)}m(u,T_u)>m(u,T_u)\right),\\ \Pi^{\sup}_2(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\{(v,w):0\leq v\leq w\leq 1\}\setminus E_1(u)}\frac{X(T_u t)-X(T_u s)}{u+cT_u(t-s)}m(u,T_u)>m(u,T_u)\right),\\ E_1(u) &= [0,(\ln m(u,T_u)/m(u,T_u))^2]\times[1-(\ln m(u,T_u)/m(u,T_u))^2,1].\end{aligned}$$

Analogously to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we shall first derive the asymptotics of $\Pi^{\sup}_1(u)$ by applying Lemma 5.2 and then show that $\Pi^{\sup}_2(u)=o\left(\Pi^{\sup}_1(u)\right)$ as $u\to\infty$.

Analysis of $\Pi^{\sup}_1(u)$. In order to apply Lemma 5.2, it is useful to rewrite

$$\Pi^{\sup}_1(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{[0,b(u)]^2}X_u(s,t)>m(u,T_u)\right),$$

where

$$X_u(s,t):=\frac{X(T_u-\Delta(u,T_u)t)-X(\Delta(u,T_u)s)}{u+cT_u-c\Delta(u,T_u)(t+s)}m(u,T_u),(s,t)\in[0,b(u)]^2$$

with $b(u)=\left(\frac{\ln m(u,T_u)}{m(u,T_u)}\right)^2\frac{T_u}{\Delta(u,T_u)}$, $\sigma_u(s,t)=\sqrt{Var(X_u(s,t))}$ and $g(u)=\frac{T_u}{a_u\Delta(u,T_u)}$, with a_u defined in Lemma 5.3. In light of Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\sigma_u(0,0)=1,\quad 1-\sigma_u(s,t)\sim\frac{t+s}{g(u)},(s,t)\in[0,b(u)]^2,$$

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(s,t),(s',t')\in[0,b(u)]^2,(s,t)\neq(s',t')}\left|\frac{m^2(u,T_u)(1-Corr(X_u(s,t),X_u(s',t')))}{\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,T_u)|s-s'|)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u,T_u)|t-t'|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,T_u))}}-1\right|=0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.2, following the same line of reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have, as $u \to \infty$,

$$\Pi_1^{\sup}(u) \sim \begin{cases} \left(\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} \left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)^{-1} \Omega^{-1}(u,T_u)\right)^2 \Psi(m(u,T_u)) & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = 0 \\ \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma})t}\right)^2 \Psi(m(u,T_u)) & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = \Omega_\infty \in (0,\infty) \\ \Psi(m(u,T_u)) & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Analysis of $\Pi_2^{\sup}(u)$. By similar arguments as given in the analysis of $\Pi_2(u)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_2^{\sup}(u) &\le \mathbb{Q}_2(m(u,T_u))^{4/\lambda} \Psi\left(\frac{m(u,T_u)}{\sqrt{1-\mathbb{Q}m^{-2}(u,T_u)(\ln m(u,T_u))^2}}\right) \\ &\le \mathbb{Q}_2(m(u,T_u))^{4/\lambda} e^{-\mathbb{Q}(\ln m(u,T_u))^2} \Psi(m(u,T_u)) = o(\Pi_1^{\sup}(u)), \quad u \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u) \sim \Pi_1^{\sup}(u)$ as $u \to \infty$, which completes the proof. □

6.4. **Proof of Theorem 3.3.** Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{x,T_u}(u) &= \pi_{0,T_u}(u) + \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right) \\ &\quad -\mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u) - cT_u > u - x, \sup_{0\le s\le T_u} (X(T_u) - X(s) - c(T_u - s)) > u\right). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Hence, if $\pi_{0,T_u}(u)$ or $\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)$ is asymptotically dominating, then it determines the asymptotics of $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)$ as $u \to \infty$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\frac{\pi_{0,T_u}(u)}{\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)} \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}} \left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)^{-1} \Omega^{-1}(u,T_u) e^{-\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}x}, & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma})t} e^{-\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}x}, & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = \Omega_\infty \in (0,\infty) \\ e^{-\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}x}, & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = \infty, \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

and we observe that

$$\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)} \sim \mathbb{Q}\frac{u}{T_u^{2\alpha_\infty}}, \quad u \to \infty,$$

We shall distinguish three cases related to the value of φ.

$\diamond$ Case $\varphi = 0$. By (23) and straightforward calculations, we have that

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u) = o\left(\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)\right), \quad u \to \infty,$$

which implies that $\pi_{x,T_u} \sim \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)$.

$\diamond$ Case $\varphi \in (0,\infty)$. Using that by Lemma 5.6, $\lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) \in (0,\infty]$, (23) leads to

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u) = O\left(\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)\right), \quad u \to \infty.$$

Hence, this case needs another approach than applied in scenario $\varphi = 0$. Since $T_u \sim \varphi u^{1/(2\alpha_\infty)}$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{T_u}{u} < t^*$, then $\alpha_\infty \ge 1/2$ and $\Omega(u,T_u) \sim \mathbb{Q}_1 \frac{u}{T_u} \sim \mathbb{Q}_1 u^{1-1/(2\alpha_\infty)}$ as $u \to \infty$.

$\diamond$ Subcase $\varphi \in (0,\infty)$, $\alpha_\infty = 1/2$. If $\alpha_\infty = 1/2$, then $\lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = \Omega_\infty \in (0,\infty)$. Recall that

$$\pi_{x,T_u} = \mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u) - cT_u > u - x \text{ or } \sup_{0\le s\le T_u} (X(T_u) - X(s) - c(T_u - s)) > u\right),$$

and observe that for any $S > 0$,

$$\Pi_x^{(1)}(u) \le \pi_{x,T_u}(u) \le \Pi_x^{(1)}(u) + \Pi^{(2)}(u), \tag{24}$$

where

$$\Pi_x^{(1)}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u) - cT_u > u - x \text{ or } \sup_{0\le s\le S} (X(T_u) - X(s) - c(T_u - s)) > u\right),$$

$$\Pi^{(2)}(u) \quad = \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{S\leq s\leq T_u}(X(T_u)-X(s)-c(T_u-s))>u\right).$$

Next we shall first derive the exact asymptotics of $\Pi_x^{(1)}(u)$ and then show that $\Pi^{(2)}(u)=o\left(\Pi_x^{(1)}(u)\right)$ as $u\to\infty, S\to\infty$.

Analysis of $\Pi_x^{(1)}(u)$. The same transformation as given in (18) leads to

$$\Pi_x^{(1)}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u)>u-x+cT_u \text{ or } \sup_{t\in I_0(u)}\left(\frac{X(T_u)-X(T_ut)}{u+cT_u(1-t)}\right)m(u,T_u)>m(u,T_u)\right), \quad I_0(u)=\left[0,\frac{S}{T_u}\right].$$

Using Lemma 5.3, we have for $0<\epsilon<\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}$ and u large enough,

$$\Pi_x^{1,+\epsilon}(u)\leq\Pi_x^{(1)}(u)\leq\Pi_x^{1,-\epsilon}(u)$$

with

$$\Pi_x^{1,\pm\epsilon}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}(T_u)>\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)} \text{ or } \sup_{t\in I_0(u)}\frac{\overline{X(T_u)-X(T_ut)}}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\pm\epsilon)t}>m(u,T_u)\right).$$

We first focus on $\Pi_x^{1,-\epsilon}(u)$. Denote by

$$g_u(w)=\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}(T_u)>\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)} \text{ or } \sup_{t\in I_0(u)}\frac{\overline{X(T_u)-X(T_ut)}}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t}>m(u,T_u)\Big|\overline{X}(T_u)=m(u,T_u)-\frac{w}{m(u,T_u)}\right).$$

Then

$$\Pi_x^{1,-\epsilon}(u)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u,T_u)}e^{-\frac{m^2(u,T_u)}{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{w-\frac{w}{m^2(u,T_u)}}g_u(w)dw.$$

Notice that if $w<\sqrt{2}a_1x-\nu$ with $\nu>0$ and $a_1=\frac{1+c\varphi}{\sqrt{2}A_\infty\varphi}$, then for u sufficiently large,

$$m(u,T_u)-\frac{w}{m(u,T_u)}>\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)},$$

implying that $g_u(w)=1$. Analogously, if $w>\sqrt{2}a_1x+\nu$ with $\nu>0$, for u sufficiently large, then

$$m(u,T_u)-\frac{w}{m(u,T_u)}<\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)},$$

which means that $g_u(w)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in I_0(u)}\frac{\overline{X(T_u)-X(T_ut)}}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t}>m(u,T_u)\Big|\overline{X}(T_u)=m(u,T_u)-\frac{w}{m(u,T_u)}\right)$.
Further,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{m(u,T_u)\frac{\overline{X(T_u)-X(t)}}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u}-m^2(u,T_u)+w\Big|\overline{X}(T_u)=m(u,T_u)-\frac{w}{m(u,T_u)},t\in[0,S]\right\}\\ &\quad\stackrel{d}{=}\left\{\frac{Z_u(t)+h_u(w,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u},t\in[0,S]\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z_u(t)=m(u,T_u)\left(\overline{X(T_u)-X(t)}-r_{T_u}(t/T_u,1,0,1)\overline{X}(T_u)\right), \quad t\in[0,S],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}h_u(w,t) \quad &= \quad -m^2(u,T_u)(1-r_{T_u}(t/T_u,1,0,1))-\frac{m^2(u,T_u)}{T_u}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon\right)t\\ &\quad +w\left(1-r_{T_u}(t/T_u,1,0,1)+\frac{\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon}{T_u}t\right),\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

with r_{T_u} defined in (17). Using Lemma 5.5, we have that, as $u\to\infty$,

$$Cov(Z_u(t),Z_u(s)) \quad\to\quad Cov(\sqrt{2}a_1X(t),\sqrt{2}a_1X(s)), \quad s,t\in[0,S], \tag{26}$$

and for each $w\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$h_u(w,t) \quad\to\quad -a_1^2\sigma^2(t)-a_2(\epsilon)t,$$

uniformly with respect to $t\in[0,S]$ with $a_2(\epsilon)=\frac{(1+c\varphi)^2}{A_\infty\varphi^2}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi}-\epsilon\right)$. Moreover, for u sufficiently large

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{(Z_u(t)-Z_u(s))^2\right\}$$

$$\leq m^2(u,T_u)\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\overline{X(T_u)-X(t)}-\overline{X(T_u)-X(s)}\right)^2\right\}+(r_{T_u}(t/T_u,1,0,1)-r_{T_u}(s/T_u,1,0,1))^2\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{Q}\sigma^2(|t-s|)\leq \mathbb{Q}|t-s|^{\alpha_0/2},\quad s,t\in[0,S]. \tag{27}$$

Thus $\{Z_u(t)+h_u(w,t),t\in[0,S]\}$ weakly converges to $\{\sqrt{2}a_1X(t)-a_1^2\sigma^2(t)-a_2(\epsilon)t,t\in[0,S]\}$. Since $1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u$ uniformly converges to 1 with respect to $t\in[0,S]$, then $\left\{\frac{Z_u(t)+h_u(w,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u},t\in[0,S]\right\}$ weakly converges to $\left\{\sqrt{2}a_1X(t)-a_1^2\sigma^2(t)-a_2(\epsilon)t,t\in[0,S]\right\}$, implying that, as $u\to\infty$,

$$g_u(w)\to\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]}\left(\sqrt{2}a_1X(t)-a_1^2\sigma^2(t)-a_2(\epsilon)t\right)>w\right):=g_\infty(w),\quad w>\sqrt{2}a_1x+\nu.$$

It follows from the fact that for $w>0$

$$h_u(w,t)\geq -m^2(u,T_u)(1-r_{T_u}(t/T_u,1,0,1))-\frac{m^2(u,T_u)}{T_u}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon\right)t,\quad t\in[0,S],$$

and Lemma 5.5 that there exists a positive constant C such that for $w>0$ and all u large

$$\inf_{t\in[0,S]}h_u(w,t)>C.$$

Hence for u large enough and $w>0$

$$\begin{aligned}g_u(w)&=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]}\frac{Z_u(t)+h_u(w,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u}>w\right)\\&\leq\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]}Z_u(t)>w/2-\inf_{t\in[0,S]}h_u(w,t)\right)\\&\leq\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]}Z_u(t)>w/2-C\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus in view of (26) and (27), by Lemma 5.1 [4] we have for w and u sufficiently large,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]}Z_u(t)>w/2-C\right)\leq\mathbb{Q}w^{4/\alpha_0}\Psi\left(\frac{w/2-C}{2a_1\sigma(S)}\right),$$

implying that for u sufficiently large and $w>\sqrt{2}a_1x+\nu$,

$$g_u(w)\leq\mathbb{Q}w^{4/\alpha_0}\Psi\left(\frac{w/2-C}{2a_1\sigma(S)}\right) \tag{28}$$

with a properly chosen $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore the dominated convergence theorem leads to, as $u\to\infty$,

$$\int_{\sqrt{2}a_1x+\nu}^{\infty}e^{w-\frac{w}{m^2(u,T_u)}}g_u(w)dw\to\int_{\sqrt{2}a_1x+\nu}^{\infty}e^wg_\infty(w)dw.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{2}a_1x-\nu}e^{w-\frac{w}{m^2(u,T_u)}}g_u(w)dw\to\int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{2}a_1x-\nu}e^wdw,\quad u\to\infty,$$

and for u sufficiently large,

$$\int_{\sqrt{2}a_1x-\nu}^{\sqrt{2}a_1x+\nu}e^{w-\frac{w}{m^2(u,T_u)}}g_u(w)dw\leq 2\nu e^{\sqrt{2}a_1x+\nu},$$

implying that

$$\lim_{\nu\to0}\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_x^{1,-\epsilon}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))}=\int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{2}a_1x}e^wdw+\int_{\sqrt{2}a_1x}^{\infty}e^wg_\infty(w)dw=\mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2(\epsilon)t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S].$$

Similarly,

$$\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_x^{1,+\epsilon}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))}=\mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2(-\epsilon)t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S].$$

Thus, letting $\epsilon\to0$,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_x^{(1)}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))}=\mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S], \tag{29}$$

with $a_2 = \frac{(1+c\varphi)^2}{A_\infty \varphi^2}\left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi}\right)$.

Analysis of $\Pi^{(2)}(u)$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\Pi^{(2)}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u-S]} X(t) - ct > u\right) \sim \mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}\Psi(m(u,T_u-S)).$$

By Lemma 5.3 we have that

$$\frac{m(u,T_u-S)}{m(u,T_u)} = 1 + a_u\frac{S}{T_u}(1+o(1)). \tag{30}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{(2)}(u) &\sim \mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}\Psi(m(u,T_u))e^{-\frac{m^2(u,T_u)}{2}\left(\frac{m^2(u,T_u-S)}{m^2(u,T_u)}-1\right)}\\ &\sim \mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}\Psi(m(u,T_u))e^{-a_2S}, \quad u\to\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In order to complete the proof of this subcase, we note that combination of (24), (29) and (31) leads to

$$\mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S] \le \liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{x,T_u}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))} \le \limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{x,T_u}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))} \le \mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S] + \mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}e^{-a_2S}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{S\to\infty}\mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S] \le \lim_{S\to\infty}\mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2t}[0,S] + e^{\sqrt{2}a_1x} < \infty,$$

then letting $S\to\infty$ in the above inequalities, we derive

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}\Psi(m(u,T_u)), \quad u\to\infty.$$

$\diamond$ Subcase $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$, $\alpha_\infty > 1/2$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u) - cT_u > u - x, \sup_{0\le s\le T_u}(X(T_u) - X(s) - c(T_u-s)) > u\right)\\ &\ge \mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u) - cT_u > u - x, X(T_u) - cT_u > u\right) = \Psi(m(u,T_u)).\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Therefore, in view of (22),

$$\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right) \le \pi_{x,T_u}(u) \le \pi_{0,T_u}(u) + \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right) - \Psi(m(u,T_u)). \tag{33}$$

By (23) and the fact $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u) = \infty$, in this subcase we have

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u) \sim \Psi(m(u,T_u)) \sim e^{-\frac{x}{A_\infty\varphi^{2\alpha_\infty}}}\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right), \quad u\to\infty.$$

which together with (33) gives that

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u) \sim \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right).$$

$\diamond$ Case $\varphi = \infty$. By the fact that $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u) = \infty$ and (23), we have

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u) \sim \Psi(m(u,T_u)) \sim \Psi\left(\frac{u+cT_u-x}{\sigma(T_u)}\right) \quad u\to\infty.$$

Using the same arguments as given in (32)-(33), we derive

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u) \sim \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right).$$

This completes the proof. □

6.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.4.** Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u) &= \pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)+\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)\\ &\quad -\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-ct)>u-x,\ \sup_{0\le s\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s))>u\right),\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

Hence if $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$ or $\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)$ is asymptotically dominating, then it determines the asymptotics of $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$ as $u\to\infty$. By Theorem 3.1 we immediately have that

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)\sim \pi_{0,T_u}(u)e^{\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}x},\quad u\to\infty,\tag{35}$$

which together with Theorem 3.2 leads to

$$\frac{\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)}\sim\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_0}}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)^{-1}\Omega^{-1}(u,T_u)e^{-\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}x}, & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=0\\ \mathcal{P}_\mu^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma})t}e^{-\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}x}, & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\Omega_\infty\in(0,\infty)\\ e^{-\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}x}, & \text{if } \lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\infty.\end{cases}\tag{36}$$

Analogously to the proof of Theorem 3.3, we distinguish three scenarios according to the value of φ.

$\diamond$ Case $\varphi=0$. By direct calculation, (36) leads to

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)=o\left(\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)\right),\quad u\to\infty,$$

which implies that $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)$.

$\diamond$ Case $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$. Since $\Omega(u,T_u)\sim\mathbb{Q}_1\frac{u}{T_u}\sim\mathbb{Q}_1u^{1-1/(2\alpha_\infty)}, u\to\infty$, then $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)\in(0,\infty]$ which combined with (36) gives

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)=O\left(\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)\right).$$

Thus in this case we can not use the same idea as in case $\varphi=0$. We distinguish two subcases.

$\diamond$ Subcase $\varphi\in(0,\infty)$, $\alpha_\infty=1/2$. Note that if $\alpha_\infty=1/2$, then $T_u\sim\varphi u$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\Omega_\infty\in(0,\infty)$. Recall that

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-ct)>u-x \text{ or } \sup_{0\le s\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s))>u\right).$$

For each $S>0$,

$$\Pi^{(2)}_x(u)\le\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\le\sum_{i=2}^{3}\Pi^{(i)}_x(u)+\sum_{i=4}^{5}\Pi^{(i)}(u),\tag{37}$$

where

$$\Pi^{(2)}_x(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{T_u-S\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-ct)>u-x \text{ or } \sup_{(s,t)\in[0,S]\times[T_u-S,T_u]}(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s))>u\right),$$

$$\Pi^{(3)}_x(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u-S]}X(t)-ct>u-x\right),\quad \Pi^{(4)}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T_u-S}X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s)>u\right),$$

$$\Pi^{(5)}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{S\le s\le t\le T_u}X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s)>u\right).$$

Next we shall give the exact asymptotics of $\Pi^{(2)}_x(u)$ and show that $\Pi^{(3)}_x(u)$, $\Pi^{(4)}(u)$ and $\Pi^{(5)}(u)$ are all negligible compared with $\Pi^{(2)}_x(u)$ as $u\to\infty, S\to\infty$.

Analysis of $\Pi^{(2)}_x(u)$. By the same transformation as given in (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{(2)}_x(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in I_0(u)}\frac{X(T_u(1-t))}{u-x+cT_u(1-t)}m(u-x,T_u)>m(u-x,T_u) \text{ or}\right.\\ &\quad \left.\sup_{(s,t)\in I_{0,0}(u)}\frac{X(T_u(1-t))-X(T_us)}{u+cT_u(1-t-s)}m(u,T_u)>m(u,T_u)\right),\end{aligned}$$

with $I_0(u)=[0,S/T_u]\,, I_{0,0}(u)=[0,S/T_u]^2$. In light of Lemma 5.3, the following inequalities hold

$$\Pi_x^{2,+\epsilon}(u)\le \Pi_x^{(2)}(u)\le \Pi_x^{2,-\epsilon}(u),$$

where

$$\Pi_x^{2,\pm\epsilon}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in I_0(u)}\frac{\overline{X}(T_u(1-t))}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\pm\epsilon)t}>m(u-x,T_u)\ \text{ or }\ \sup_{(s,t)\in I_{0,0}(u)}\frac{\overline{X(T_u(1-t))-X(T_us)}}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\pm\epsilon)(1-t+s)}>m(u,T_u)\right).$$

First we focus on $\Pi_x^{2,-\epsilon}(u)$. Conditioning on $\overline{X}(T_u)=m(u,T_u)-\frac{w}{m(u,T_u)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{m(u,T_u)\frac{\overline{X(T_u-t)}}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u}-m(u,T_u)m(u-x,T_u)+w\Big|\overline{X}(T_u)=m(u,T_u)-\frac{w}{m(u,T_u)},t\in[0,S]\right\}\\&\quad\stackrel{d}{=}\left\{\frac{Z_{u,1}(t)+h_{u,1}(w,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u},t\in[0,S]\right\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{m(u,T_u)\frac{\overline{X(T_u-t)-X(s)}}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)(s+t)/T_u}-m^2(u,T_u)+w\Big|\overline{X}(T_u)=m(u,T_u)-\frac{w}{m(u,T_u)},(s,t)\in[0,S]^2\right\}\\&\quad\stackrel{d}{=}\left\{\frac{Z_{u,2}(s,t)+h_{u,2}(w,s,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)(s+t)/T_u},(s,t)\in[0,S]^2\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{u,1}(t) &= m(u,T_u)\left(\overline{X}(T_u-t)-r_{T_u}(0,1-t/T_u,0,1)\overline{X}(T_u)\right),\quad t\in[0,S],\\
Z_{u,2}(s,t) &= m(u,T_u)\left(\overline{X(T_u-t)-X(s)}-r_{T_u}(s/T_u,1-t/T_u,0,1)\overline{X}(T_u)\right),\quad (s,t)\in[0,S]^2,\\
h_{u,1}(w,t) &= -m^2(u,T_u)\left(\frac{m(u-x,T_u)}{m(u,T_u)}-r_{T_u}(0,1-t/T_u,0,1)\right)-\frac{m(u,T_u)m(u-x,T_u)}{T_u}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon\right)t\\
&\quad +w\left(1-r_{T_u}(0,1-t/T_u,0,1)+\frac{\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon}{T_u}t\right),\quad t\in[0,S],\\
h_{u,2}(w,s,t) &= -m^2(u,T_u)\left(1-r_{T_u}(s/T_u,1-t/T_u,0,1)\right)-\frac{m^2(u,T_u)}{T_u}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon\right)(s+t)\\
&\quad +w\left(1-r_{T_u}(s/T_u,1-t/T_u,0,1)+\frac{\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon}{T_u}(s+t)\right),\quad (s,t)\in[0,S]^2,
\end{aligned}$$

with r_{T_u} defined in (17). It follows from Lemma 5.5 that, as $u\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(Z_{u,1}(t),Z_{u,1}(t_1)) &\to Cov\left(\sqrt{2}a_1X(t),\sqrt{2}a_1X(s)\right),\quad t,t_1\in[0,S],\\
Cov(Z_{u,2}(s,t),Z_{u,2}(s_1,t_1)) &\to Cov\left(\sqrt{2}a_1\left(X(s)+\widetilde{X}(t)\right),\sqrt{2}a_1\left(X(s_1)+\widetilde{X}(t_1)\right)\right),\quad (s,t),(s_1,t_1)\in[0,S]^2,\\
Cov(Z_{u,1}(t_1),Z_{u,2}(s,t)) &\to Cov\left(\sqrt{2}a_1X(t),\sqrt{2}a_1X(t_1)\right),\quad (s,t,t_1)\in[0,S]^3,
\end{aligned}\tag{38}$$

with $\widetilde{X}$ being an independent copy of X, $a_1=\frac{1+c\varphi}{\sqrt{2A_\infty\varphi}}$, and for each w

$$h_{u,1}(w,t)\to\sqrt{2}a_1x-a_1^2\sigma^2(t)-a_2(\epsilon)t,\tag{39}$$

uniformly with respect to $t\in[0,S]$,

$$h_{u,2}(w,s,t)\to-a_1^2(\sigma^2(s)+\sigma^2(t))-a_2(\epsilon)(s+t),\tag{40}$$

uniformly with respect to $(s,t)\in[0,S]^2$, with $a_2(\epsilon)=\frac{(1+c\varphi)^2}{A_\infty\varphi^2}\left(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi}-\epsilon\right)$. Moreover, similarly to (27), we have for u sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\{(Z_{u,1}(t)-Z_{u,1}(s))^2\right\} &\le \mathbb{Q}\sigma^2(|t-s|)\le\mathbb{Q}|t-s|^{\alpha_0/2},\quad s,t\in[0,S]\\
\mathbb{E}\left\{(Z_{u,2}(s,t)-Z_{u,2}(s_1,t_1))^2\right\} &\le \mathbb{Q}\left(|s-s_1|^{\alpha_0/2}+|t-t_1|^{\alpha_0/2}\right),\quad s,t,s_1,t_1\in[0,S].
\end{aligned}\tag{41}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\left\{\left(\frac{Z_{u,1}(t_1)+h_{u,1}(w,t_1)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t_1/T_u},\frac{Z_{u,2}(s,t)+h_{u,2}(w,s,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)(s+t)/T_u}\right),(t_1,s,t)\in[0,S]^3\right\}$$

weakly converges to $\left\{(Y_1(t_1),Y_2(s,t)),(t_1,s,t)\in[0,S]^3\right\}$ with $Y_1(t_1)=\sqrt{2}a_1x+\sqrt{2}a_1X(t_1)-a_1^2\sigma^2(t_1)-a_2(\epsilon)t_1, t_1\in[0,S]$ and $Y_2(s,t)=\sqrt{2}a_1(X(s)+\widetilde{X}(t))-a_1^2(\sigma^2(s)+\sigma^2(t))-a_2(\epsilon)(s+t),(s,t)\in[0,S]^2$. Denote by

$$g_{u,1}(w):=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]}\frac{Z_{u,1}(t)+h_{u,1}(w,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u}>w\ \text{ or }\ \sup_{(s,t)\in[0,S]^2}\frac{Z_{u,2}(s,t)+h_{u,2}(w,s,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)(s+t)/T_u}>w\right).$$

Then we have

$$\Pi_x^{2,-\epsilon}(u)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}m(u,T_u)}e^{-\frac{m^2(u,T_u)}{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{w-\frac{w}{m^2(u,T_u)}}g_{u,1}(w)dw,$$

and

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}g_{u,1}(w)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]}Y_1(t_1)>w\ \text{ or }\ \sup_{(s,t)\in[0,S]^2}Y_2(s,t)>w\right):=g_{\infty,1}(w).$$

Note that $g_{u,1}(w)\le g_{u,2}(w)+g_{u,3}(w)$, where

$$g_{u,2}(w):=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,S]}\frac{Z_{u,1}(t)+h_{u,1}(w,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)t/T_u}>w\right),\ g_{u,3}(w):=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in[0,S]^2}\frac{Z_{u,2}(s,t)+h_{u,2}(w,s,t)}{1+(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}-\epsilon)(s+t)/T_u}>w\right).$$

Similarly as in (28), in view of (38)-(41) and by Lemma 5.1 in [4] we have that for u large enough and $w>1$,

$$g_{u,2}(w)\le\mathbb{Q}e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1w^2},\quad g_{u,3}(w)\le\mathbb{Q}e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1w^2},$$

implying that for u large enough and $w>1$,

$$g_{u,1}(w)\le g_{u,2}(w)+g_{u,3}(w)\le 2\mathbb{Q}e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1w^2}.$$

Using dominated convergence theorem, we derive that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_x^{2,-\epsilon}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^w g_{\infty,1}(w)dw.$$

Similarly we can derive asymptotics of $\Pi_x^{2,+\epsilon}(u)$. Further, letting $\epsilon\to0$, we get, for each $S>0$,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_x^{(2)}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))}=\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S]. \tag{42}$$

Analysis of $\Pi_x^{(3)}(u),\Pi^{(4)}(u)$ *and* $\Pi^{(5)}(u)$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 and (30) -(31) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_x^{(3)}(u)&\sim\mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}\Psi\left(m\left(u-x,T_u-S\right)\right)\\&\sim e^{\sqrt{2}a_1x-a_2S}\mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}\Psi(m(u,T_u)),\quad u\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

By Theorem 3.2 and (31), we have

$$\Pi^{(4)}(u)\sim e^{-a_2S}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}\right)^2\Psi(m(u,T_u)),\quad u\to\infty. \tag{44}$$

Using the stationarity of increments of X, we get $\Pi^{(5)}(u)=\Pi^{(4)}(u)$.

In order to conclude this subcase, by combination of (37) with (42)-(44), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S]\le\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{x,T_u}^{\sup}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))}\le\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi_{x,T_u}^{\sup}(u)}{\Psi(m(u,T_u))}\ &\le\ \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S]+e^{\sqrt{2}a_1x-a_2S}\mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}\\&\quad+2e^{-a_2S}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mu_\varphi}^{\Omega_\infty(\alpha_\infty-\frac{c\varphi}{1+c\varphi})t}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $S\to\infty$ on both side of above inequalities and using the fact that

$$\lim_{S\to\infty}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}[0,S]\le\lim_{S\to\infty}\left(\mathcal{P}_{a_1X}^{a_2t}[0,S]\right)^2+e^{\sqrt{2}a_1x}<\infty,$$

we have

$$\pi_{x,T_u}^{\sup}(u)\sim\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{a_1X}^{a_2t,\sqrt{2}a_1x}\Psi(m(u,T_u)).$$

$\diamond$ Subcase $\varphi \in (0,\infty)$, $\alpha_\infty > 1/2$. Observe that, for any $u > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-ct) > u-x, \sup_{0\le s\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s)) > u\right)\\ &\ge \mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u)-cT_u > u\right) = \Psi(m(u,T_u)),\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which in view of (34) gives that

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x) \le \pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u) \le \pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u) + \pi_{0,T_u}(u-x) - \Psi(m(u,T_u)). \tag{46}$$

Moreover, by Theorem 3.2, (36) and the fact that $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\infty$, we have

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u) \sim \Psi(m(u,T_u)) \sim e^{-\frac{x}{A_\infty \varphi^{2\alpha_\infty}}}\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x), \quad u\to\infty,$$

which combined with (46) gives that

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u) \sim \pi_{0,T_u}(u-x) \sim \Psi(m(u-x,T_u)).$$

This establishes the claim.

$\diamond$ Case $\varphi = \infty$. By the fact that $\lim_{u\to\infty}\Omega(u,T_u)=\infty$, and (36), we have

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u) \sim \pi_{0,T_u}(u-x) \sim \Psi(m(u,T_u)), \quad u\to\infty.$$

Using the same argument as given in (45)-(46), we derive that

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u) \sim \pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u).$$

This completes the proof. □

6.6. **Proof of Theorem 3.5.** Let

$$Y_u(t) = \frac{X(ut)}{u(1+ct)}m(u,t_u), \quad E_2(u) = [t_u/u - \ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u), t_u/u + \ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u)] \cap [0, T_u/u].$$

Then it follows that

$$\Pi_3(u) \le \pi_{0,T_u}(u) \le \Pi_3(u) + \Pi_4(u), \tag{47}$$

where

$$\Pi_3(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in E_2(u)} Y_u(t) > m(u,t_u)\right), \quad \Pi_4(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u/u]\setminus E_2(u)} Y_u(t) > m(u,t_u)\right). \tag{48}$$

In the rest of the proof we shall derive the exact asymptotics of $\Pi_3(u)$ (by applying Lemma 5.1). Then show that $\Pi_4(u) = o(\Pi_3(u))$ as $u\to\infty$. We distinguish two cases: $w\in(-\infty,\infty)$ and $w=\infty$.

$\diamond$ Case $w \in (-\infty,\infty)$.

Analysis of $\Pi_3(u)$. In order to derive the asymptotics of $\Pi_3(u)$, it suffices to check the assumptions of Lemma 5.1. For this, we observe that

$$\Pi_3(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[a(u),b(u)]} Y_u\left(\frac{t_u}{u} + \frac{\Delta(u,t_u)t}{u}\right) > m(u,t_u)\right), \quad t\in[a(u),b(u)],$$

with $a(u) = -\frac{u\ln m(u,t_u)}{\Delta(u,t_u)m(u,t_u)}$, $\quad b(u) = \min\left(-a(u), \frac{T_u-t_u}{\Delta(u,t_u)}\right)$. Moreover, let $\sigma_u(t) = \sqrt{Var\left(Y_u\left(\frac{t_u}{u}+\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)t}{u}\right)\right)}$, $\quad g(u) = \frac{u^2}{b_u(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}$, with b_u defined in Lemma 5.4.

In light of Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, we have $\sigma_u(0)=1$, $\ 1-\sigma_u(t) \sim \frac{t^2}{g(u)}, t\in[a(u),b(u)]$, and

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{s,t\in[a(u),b(u)],s\ne t}\left|\frac{m^2(u,t_u)\left(1-Corr\left(Y_u\left(\frac{t_u}{u}+\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)t}{u}\right), Y_u\left(\frac{t_u}{u}+\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)t}{u}\right)\right)\right)}{\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u)|s-t|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))}} - 1\right| = 0.$$

Note that $\frac{\overleftarrow{\sigma}(u^{-1}\sigma^2(u))}{\sigma(u)} \sim \mathbb{Q}u^{\beta}$, as $u \to \infty$, with

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{2\alpha_\infty - 1}{\alpha_0} - \alpha_\infty, & \text{if } \alpha_\infty < 1/2 \\ -\alpha_\infty, & \text{if } \alpha_\infty = 1/2 \\ \frac{2\alpha_\infty - 1}{\alpha_\infty} - \alpha_\infty, & \text{if } \alpha_\infty > 1/2. \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

Thus $\beta < 0$ for all $\alpha_\infty \in (0,1)$ and we have $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\overleftarrow{\sigma}(u^{-1}\sigma^2(u))}{\sigma(u)} = 0$, which implies that $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{m^2(u,t_u)}{g(u)} = 0$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} g(u) = \infty, \quad \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{a^2(u)+b^2(u)}{g(u)} = 0, \quad \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{a^2(u)+b^2(u)}{g(u)} m(u,t_u) = 0,$$

$$y_1 = \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{a(u)m(u,t_u)}{\sqrt{g(u)}} = -\infty, \quad y_2 = \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{b(u)m(u,t_u)}{\sqrt{g(u)}} = \sqrt{\frac{B}{2AA_\infty}} \frac{(1+ct^*)w}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}.$$

Therefore by case i) in Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_3(u) &\sim \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} e^{-s^2} ds \frac{\sqrt{g(u)}}{m(u,t_u)} \Psi(m(u,t_u)) \\ &\sim \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}} \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\frac{B}{2AA_\infty}} \frac{(1+ct^*)w}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}} e^{-s^2} ds \frac{\sqrt{g(u)}}{m(u,t_u)} \Psi(m(u,t_u)) \\ &\sim \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}} \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{AA_\infty}} \frac{(1+ct^*)w}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}} \right) \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)} \Psi(m(u,t_u)). \end{aligned}$$

Analysis of $\Pi_4(u)$. It follows from Lemma 5.4 that, for u sufficiently large,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T_u/u]\setminus E_2(u)} Var\left(Y_u(t)\right) \le 1 - \frac{B}{4A} m^{-2}(u,t_u) \left(\ln m(u,t_u)\right)^2.$$

Moreover, by (19)

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{ \left(Y_u(t) - Y_u(s)\right)^2 \right\} \le \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\sigma^2(u|t-s|)}{\sigma^2(u)} + |t-s|^2 \right) \le \mathbb{Q}|t-s|^{\lambda}, \quad s,t \in [0, T_u/u]$$

with $0 < \lambda < \min(2\alpha_0, 2\alpha_\infty)$. Applying Lemma 5.1 in [4], we have, as $u \to \infty$,

$$\Pi_4(u) \le \mathbb{Q}(m(u,t_u))^{2/\lambda} \Psi\left(\frac{m(u,t_u)}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbb{Q}m^{-2}(u)(\ln m(u,t_u))^2}} \right) = o(\Pi_3(u)). \tag{50}$$

Hence

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u) \sim \Pi_3(u), \quad u \to \infty.$$

$\diamond$ Case $w = \infty$.

Analysis of $\Pi_3(u)$. Following the same arguments as given for case $w \in (-\infty, \infty)$, we have that

$$\Pi_3(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}} \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)} \Psi(m(u,t_u)), \quad u \to \infty.$$

Analysis of $\Pi_4(u)$. Observe that

$$\Pi_4(u) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,M]\setminus E_0(u)} Y_u(t) > m(u,t_u) \right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[M,\infty)} Y_u(t) > m(u,t_u) \right) =: p_1(u) + p_2(u)$$

with $E_0(u) = [t_u - \ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u), t_u + \ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u)]$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and M sufficiently large. By the same arguments as given in (50), we have that $p_1(u) = o(\Pi_3(u)), u \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$p_2(u) \le \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[k,k+1]} Y_u(t) > m(u,t_u) \right).$$

In order to bound the above sum, observe that by Potter's theorem (see, e.g., [1]), we have

$$\sup_{t\in[k,k+1]} \sqrt{Var\left(Y_u(t)\right)^2} = \sup_{t\in[k,k+1]} \frac{\sigma(ut)}{\sigma(t_u)} \frac{1+ct_u}{1+ct}$$

$$\leq \sup_{t\in[k,k+1]} \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{t}{t^*}\right)^{\alpha_\infty+\epsilon} \frac{1+ct_u}{1+ct} \leq \mathbb{Q}k^{\alpha_\infty-1+\epsilon}$$

with $0<\epsilon<1-\alpha_\infty$. Additionally, by (19), we have for $s,t\in[k,k+1]$ with $k\geq M$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{(Y_u(t)-Y_u(s))^2\right\} \leq \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\sigma^2(u|t-s|)}{\sigma^2(u)}+|t-s|^2\right) \leq \mathbb{Q}|t-s|^\lambda$$

with $0<\lambda<\min(2\alpha_0,2\alpha_\infty)$. Thus in light of Lemma 5.1 in [4], we have, for M sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(u) &\leq \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}\left(m(u,t_u)\right)^{2/\lambda} \Psi\left(\frac{m(u,t_u)}{\mathbb{Q}k^{\alpha_\infty-1+\epsilon}}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}\left(m(u,t_u)\right)^{2/\lambda} \Psi\left(\frac{m(u,t_u)}{\mathbb{Q}_1 M^{\alpha_\infty-1+\epsilon}}\right) = o(\Pi_3(u)), \quad u\to\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for M sufficiently large, $\Pi_4(u)=o(\Pi_3(u)), u\to\infty$. Thus, by (47),

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u) \sim \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}} \sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}} \frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)} \Psi(m(u,t_u)), \quad u\to\infty.$$

This completes the proof. □

6.7. **Proof of Theorem 3.6.** By standard transformation, we have

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in D(u)} Y_u(s,t) > m(u,t_u)\right), \tag{51}$$

where

$$Y_u(s,t) = \frac{X(ut)-X(us)}{u(1+c(t-s))} m(u,t_u), \quad D(u)=\{(s,t), 0\leq s\leq t\leq T_u/u\}.$$

The proof of this theorem needs a case-specific approach. As in proofs of previous theorems, we distinguish two scenarios: $w\in(-\infty,\infty)$ and $w=\infty$.

$\diamond$ Case $w\in(-\infty,\infty)$. Before proceeding to the proof, we first introduce some useful notation. Let

$$\begin{aligned} E_3(u) &= [0,\ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u)]\times([t_u/u-\ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u), t_u/u+\ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u)]\cap[0,T_u/u]), \\ J_{k,l}(u) &= \left[k\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}S,(k+1)\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}S\right]\times\left[t_u+l\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}S, t_u+(l+1)\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}S\right], k,l\in\mathbb{Z}, \\ u^{\pm}_{k,l,\epsilon} &= m(u,t_u)\left(1+(b\pm\epsilon)\left(|k-l|^{\pm}\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}S\right)^2\right), k,l\in\mathbb{Z}, 0<\epsilon<\frac{B}{2A}, \\ N_1(u) &= \left[\frac{u\ln m(u,t_u)}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)S}\right]+1, \quad N_2(u)=\left[\frac{T_u-t_u}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}\right]+1, \\ \Lambda_1 &= \{(k,l,k_1,l_1), 0\leq k\leq k_1\leq N_1(u), -N_1(u)\leq l,l_1\leq N_2(u), (k,l)\neq(k_1,l_1), J_{k,l}(u)\cap J_{k_1,l_1}\neq\emptyset\}, \\ \Lambda_2 &= \{(k,l,k_1,l_1), 0\leq k,k_1\leq N_1(u), -N_1(u)\leq l,l_1\leq N_2(u), J_{k,l}(u)\cap J_{k_1,l_1}=\emptyset\}, \\ \Theta(u) &= \left(\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\right)^2\Psi(m(u,t_u)) \end{aligned}$$

with $|k|^-=\min(|k-1|,|k+1|)$, $|k|^+=\max(|k-1|,|k+1|)$ and $b=\frac{B}{2A}$.

In view of (51), we have

$$\Pi^{\sup}_3(u) \leq \pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u) \leq \Pi^{\sup}_3(u)+\Pi^{\sup}_4(u), \tag{52}$$

where

$$\Pi^{\sup}_3(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E_3(u)} Y_u(s,t) > m(u,t_u)\right), \quad \Pi^{\sup}_4(u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in D(u)\setminus E_3(u)} Y_u(s,t) > m(u,t_u)\right).$$

The idea of the proof is to find the asymptotics of $\Pi_3^{\sup}(u)$ and prove that $\Pi_4^{\sup}(u) = o\left(\Pi_3^{\sup}(u)\right)$ as $u \to \infty$. *Analysis of* $\Pi_3^{\sup}(u)$. By Bonferroni inequality and Lemma 5.4 for u sufficiently large and each $S > 0$,

$$\Pi_5^{\sup,+}(u) - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \Sigma_i(u) \le \Pi_3^{\sup}(u) \le \Pi_5^{\sup,-}(u), \tag{53}$$

where

$$\Pi_5^{\sup,\pm}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_1(u)\mp 1} \sum_{l=-N_1(u)\pm 1}^{N_2(u)\mp 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u_{k,l,\epsilon}^{\pm} \right), \quad \Sigma_i(u) = \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_i} p_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u), \quad i = 1,2.$$

with $p_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u) := \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u_{k,l,\epsilon}^{-}, \sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k_1,l_1}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u_{k_1,l_1,\epsilon}^{-}\right)$. In order to apply Proposition 4.2 in [5], let us define

$$\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t) = \overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}(kS+s), \frac{t_u}{u} + \frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}(lS+t) \right), \quad (s,t) \in [0,S]^2,$$

$$K_u = \{(k,l), 0 \le k \le N_1(u)+1, -N_1(u)-1 \le l \le N_2(u)+1\}.$$

Following Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{(k,l)\in K_u} \left| \frac{(u_{k,l,\epsilon}^{-})^2 (1 - Corr(\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t), \xi_{u,k,l}(s',t')))}{\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u)|s-s'|) + \sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u)|t-t'|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))}} - 1 \right| = 0.$$

Thus by Proposition 4.2 in [5] we have for all $\alpha_\infty \in (0,1)$,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \sup_{(k,l)\in K_u} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in[0,S]^2} \xi_{u,k,l}(s,t) > u_{k,l,\epsilon}^{-}\right)}{\Psi(u_{k,l,\epsilon}^{-})} - \left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]\right)^2 \right| = 0, \tag{54}$$

where η_∞ is defined in (12). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_5^{\sup,-}(u) &\le \sum_{k=0}^{N_1(u)+1} \sum_{l=-N_1(u)-1}^{N_2(u)+1} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]\right)^2 \Psi(u_{k,l,\epsilon}^{-})(1+o(1)) \\
&\le \left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]\right)^2 \Psi(m(u,t_u)) \sum_{k=0}^{N_1(u)+1} \sum_{l=-N_1(u)-1}^{N_2(u)+1} e^{-(b-\epsilon)\left(|k-l|^- m(u,t_u)\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}S\right)^2}(1+o(1)) \\
&\le \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2 (b-\epsilon)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{b-\epsilon}\frac{1+ct^*}{\sqrt{A_\infty}(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}w} e^{-(x-z)^2} dz dx \Theta(u)(1+o(1)) \\
&\sim \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2 b^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\frac{B}{2AA_\infty}}\frac{1+ct^*}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}w} e^{-(x-z)^2} dz dx \Theta(u), \quad u\to\infty, \epsilon\to 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Similarly, as $u \to \infty, \epsilon \to 0$,

$$\Pi_5^{\sup,+}(u) \ge \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2 b^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\frac{B}{2AA_\infty}}\frac{1+ct^*}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}w} e^{-(x-z)^2} dz dx \Theta(u)(1+o(1)). \tag{56}$$

Analysis of $\Sigma_i(u), i = 1,2$. If $(k,l,k_1,l_1) \in \Lambda_1$, then without loss of generality, we assume that $k_1 = k+1$. Hence

$$p_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u) \le p_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{*}(u) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in \widetilde{J}_{k,l}^2} \overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}s, \frac{t_u}{u} + \frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}t \right) > u_{k,l,\epsilon}^{-} \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{k,l,k_1,l_1}^{*}(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}^1} \overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}s, \frac{t_u}{u} + \frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}t \right) > u_{k,l,\epsilon}^{-}, \right. \\
&\qquad \left. \sup_{(s,t)\in \widetilde{J}_{k_1,l_1}} \overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}s, \frac{t_u}{u} + \frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}t \right) > u_{k_1,l_1,\epsilon}^{-} \right), \\
\widetilde{J}_{k,l} &= [kS,(k+1)S] \times [lS,(l+1)S], k,l \in \mathbb{N}, \\
\widetilde{J}_{k,l}^1 &= \left[kS,(k+1)S - \sqrt{S}\right] \times [lS,(l+1)S], k,l \in \mathbb{N},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\widetilde{J}^2_{k,l} = \left[(k+1)S-\sqrt{S},(k+1)S\right]\times[lS,(l+1)S], k,l\in\mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & m^2(u,t_u)\left(1-Corr\left(\overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}s,\frac{t_u}{u}+\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}t\right),\overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}s',\frac{t_u}{u}+\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}t'\right)\right)\right) \\ & \sim \frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u)|s-s'|)+\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u)|t-t'|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))}, \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

for $(s,t),(s',t')\in\widetilde{E}_u=\left[0,\frac{u\ln m(u,t_u)}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\right]\times\left[-\frac{u\ln m(u,t_u)}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)},\min\left(\frac{u\ln m(u,t_u)}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)},\frac{T_u-t_u}{\Delta(u,t_u)}\right)\right]$. Thus it follows from Corollary 3.2 in [5] that there exists $\mathcal{C}>0,\mathcal{C}_1>0$ such that for u large enough and $S>1$

$$p^*_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u) \leq \mathcal{C}S^4e^{-\mathcal{C}_1S^{\beta/2}}\Psi(u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon}), \quad (k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_1,$$

with $\beta=\min(\alpha_0,\alpha_\infty)$ and $u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon}=\min(u^-_{k,l,\epsilon},u^-_{k_1,l_1,\epsilon})$. Using similar arguments as given in (54), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\widetilde{J}^2_{k,l}}\overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}s,\frac{t_u}{u}+\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}t\right)>u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}\right)\sim\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,\sqrt{S}]\Psi(u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}),$$

as $u\to\infty$, uniformly with respect to $0\leq k\leq N_1(u)$ and $-N_1(u)\leq l\leq N_2(u)$. Since each $J_{k,l}(u)$ has at most 8 neighbours, following the same argument as given for $\Pi_5^{\sup,-}(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1(u) &\leq \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_1}\left\{p^*_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u)+\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\widetilde{J}^2_{k,l}}\overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}s,\frac{t_u}{u}+\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}t\right)>u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}\right)\right\} \\ &\leq 8\sum_{k=0}^{N_1(u)}\sum_{l=-N_1(u)}^{N_2(u)}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,\sqrt{S}]\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]++\mathcal{C}S^4e^{-\mathbb{Q}S^{2/\beta}}\right)\Psi(u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,\sqrt{S}]}{S}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}+S^2e^{-\mathcal{C}_1S^{2/\beta}}\right)\Theta(u), \quad u\to\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

By (57) and Corollary 3.2 in [5], we have that there exists $\mathcal{C}'>0,\mathcal{C}'_1>0$ such that for u large enough and $S>1$

$$p_{k,l,k_1,l_1}(u)\leq\mathcal{C}'S^4e^{-\mathcal{C}'_1(|k-k_1|^2+|l-l_1|^2)^{\beta/2}S^\beta}\Psi(u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon}), \quad (k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_2,$$

with $\beta=\min(\alpha_0,\alpha_\infty)$. Consequently, in light of (55) for u sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2(u) &\leq \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_2}\mathcal{C}'S^4e^{-\mathcal{C}'_1(|k-k_1|^2+|l-l_1|^2)^{\beta/2}S^\beta}\Psi(u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon}) \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}'S^4\sum_{k_1,l_1\geq0,k_1+l_1\geq1}e^{-\mathcal{C}'_1(|k_1|^2+|l_1|^2)^{\beta/2}S^\beta}\sum_{k=0}^{N_1(u)}\sum_{l=-N_1(u)}^{N_2(u)}\Psi(u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}) \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}'S^4e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1S^\beta}\sum_{k=0}^{N_1(u)}\sum_{l=-N_1(u)}^{N_2(u)}\Psi(u^-_{k,l,\epsilon})\leq\mathbb{Q}S^2e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1S^\beta}\Theta(u). \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Analysis of $\Pi_4^{\sup}(u)$. Using the same argument as given for $\Pi_4(u)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_4^{\sup}(u) &\leq \mathbb{Q}_2\left(m(u,t_u)\right)^{4/\lambda}\Psi\left(\frac{m(u,t_u)}{\sqrt{1-\mathbb{Q}m^{-2}(u,t_u)(\ln m(u,t_u))^2}}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}_2\left(m(u,t_u)\right)^{4/\lambda}e^{-\frac{\mathbb{Q}(\ln m(u,t_u))^2}{2}}\Psi\left(m(u,t_u)\right), \quad u\to\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Combination of (52)-(53) with (55)-(56), (58)-(60) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)}{\Theta(u)} &\leq \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2b^{-1}\int_0^\infty\int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\frac{B}{2AA_\infty}}\frac{1+ct^*}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}w}e^{-(x-z)^2}dzdx \\ \liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)}{\Theta(u)} &\geq \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2b^{-1}\int_0^\infty\int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\frac{B}{2AA_\infty}}\frac{1+ct^*}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}w}e^{-(x-z)^2}dzdx \end{aligned}$$

$$-\mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,\sqrt{S}]}{S}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}+S^2e^{-\mathcal{C}_1S^{2/\beta}}\right)-\mathbb{Q}S^2e^{-\mathbb{Q}_1S^\beta}.$$

Letting $S\to\infty$ in the above inequalities, we have

$$\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\sim\left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}\right)^2\frac{2A}{B}\int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{\frac{B}{2AA_\infty}}\frac{1+ct^*}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}\omega}\int_0^\infty e^{-(v-z)^2}dvdz\left(\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\right)^2\Psi(m(u,t_u)).$$

This establishes the claim.

$\diamond$ *Case $w=\infty$*. Suppose that $T_u=o(e^{\beta u^{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}})$ with $\beta\in\left(0,\frac{(1+ct^*)^2}{2A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}\right)$. We first introduce some useful notation. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
E_4(u) &= \{(s,t)\in D(u),|t-s-t_u/u|<(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u)\},\\
E_5(u) &= \{(s,t),0\le s\le(T_u-t_u)/u,|t-s-t_u/u|\le(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u)\},\\
E_6(u) &= [(T_u-t_u)/u,(T_u-t_u)/u+(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u)]\times[T_u/u-(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u),T_u/u],\\
E_7(u) &= \{(s,t),0\le s\le(1-\epsilon)(T_u-t_u)/u,|t-s-t_u|\le\min((m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u),\epsilon(T_u-t_u)/u)\},\\
u^{\pm}_{k,l,\epsilon} &= m(u,t_u)\left(1+(b\pm\epsilon)\left(|l-k-N^*(u)|^{\pm}\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}\right)^2\right),k,l\in\mathbb{Z},\quad N^*(u)=\left[\frac{t_u}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}\right],\\
N_3(u) &= \left[\frac{(1-\epsilon)(T_u-t_u)}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}\right]+1,\quad N_4(u)=\left[\frac{u\min((m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u),\epsilon(T_u-t_u)/u)}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}\right]+1,\\
\Lambda_3 &= \{(k,l,k_1,l_1),(k,l)\neq(k_1,l_1),0\le k\le k_1\le N_3(u),0\le|l-k-N^*(u)|\le N_4(u)+1,\\
&\qquad 0\le|l_1-k_1-N^*(u)|\le N_4(u)+1,|k-k_1|\le\frac{u\epsilon}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}\Big\},\\
\Lambda_4 &= \{(k,l,k_1,l_1),0\le k\le k_1\le N_3(u),0\le|l-k-N^*(u)|\le N_4(u)+1,\\
&\qquad 0\le|l_1-k_1-N^*(u)|\le N_4(u)+1,\frac{u\epsilon}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}\le|k-k_1|\le\frac{uL}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}\Big\},\\
\Lambda_5 &= \{(k,l,k_1,l_1),0\le k\le k_1\le N_3(u),0\le|l-k-N^*(u)|\le N_4(u)+1,\\
&\qquad 0\le|l_1-k_1-N^*(u)|\le N_4(u)+1,|k-k_1|\ge\frac{uL}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

with $|k|^-=\min(|k-1|,|k|,|k+1|)$, $|k|^+=\max(|k-1|,|k|,|k+1|)$, $b=\frac{B}{2A}$, $0<\epsilon<1$ and $L>\epsilon$. Using that $E_7(u)\subset E_4(u)\subset E_5(u)\cup E_6(u)$ and by (51) we have

$$\Pi^{\sup}_8(u)\le\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\le\Pi^{\sup}_6(u)+\Pi^{\sup}_7(u)+\Pi^{\sup}_9(u), \tag{61}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{\sup}_i(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E_{i-1}(u)}Y_u(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right),i=6,7,8,\\
\Pi^{\sup}_9(u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in D(u)\setminus E_4(u)}Y_u(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{62}$$

The idea of the proof is to prove that $\Pi^{\sup}_8(u)\sim\Pi^{\sup}_6(u)$ as $u\to\infty$, $\epsilon\to0$, $\Pi^{\sup}_7(u)=o\left(\Pi^{\sup}_8(u)\right)$ and $\Pi^{\sup}_9(u)=o\left(\Pi^{\sup}_8(u)\right)$ as $u\to\infty$.

Analysis of $\Pi^{\sup}_6(u)$. Using the same notation for $N_1(u),N_2(u),J_{k,l}(u)$ as in case $w\in(-\infty,\infty)$ and by Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\Pi^{\sup}_6(u)\le\sum_{k=0}^{N_2(u)}\sum_{0\le|l-k-N^*(u)|\le N_1(u)+1}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)}\overline{Y_u}(s,t)>u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}\right),$$

By the same arguments as in (54), we have

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\sup_{(k,l)\in K_u}\left|\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)}\overline{Y_u}(s,t)>u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}\right)}{\Psi(u^-_{k,l,\epsilon})}-\left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]\right)^2\right|=0,$$

with $K_u = \{(k,l), 0 \le k \le N_2(u), 0 \le |l-k-N^*(u)| \le N_1(u)+1\}$, which together with the fact that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} m(u,t_u)\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u} \to 0,$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_6^{\sup}(u) &\le \sum_{k=0}^{N_2(u)} \sum_{0\le|l-k-N^*(u)|\le N_1(u)+1} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]\right)^2 \Psi(u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}) \\
&\le \left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]\right)^2 \Psi(m(u,t_u)) \sum_{k=0}^{N_2(u)} \sum_{0\le|l-k-N^*(u)|\le N_1(u)+1} e^{-(b-\epsilon)\left(|l-k-N^*(u)|^- m(u,t_u)\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}S\right)^2} \\
&\le S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]\right)^2 (b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\Psi(m(u,t_u)) \sum_{k=0}^{N_2(u)+1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2}dy \\
&\le \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2 (b-\epsilon)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}N_2(u)S\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\Psi(m(u,t_u)) \\
&\sim \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2 b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u)), \quad u\to\infty, \epsilon\to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Analysis of $\Pi_8^{\sup}(u)$. By Bonferroni inequality and Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\Pi_8^{\sup}(u) \ge \sum_{k=0}^{N_3(u)-1} \sum_{0\le|l-k-N^*(u)|\le N_4(u)-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u^+_{k,l,\epsilon}\right) - \sum_{i=3}^{5}\Sigma_i(u) \tag{63}$$

with

$$\Sigma_i(u) = \sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_i} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u^-_{k,l,\epsilon} \sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k_1,l_1}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u^-_{k_1,l_1,\epsilon}\right), \quad i=3,4,5.$$

Following the same argument as in the analysis of $\Pi_6^{\sup}(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{N_3(u)-1} \sum_{0\le|l-k-N^*(u)|\le N_4(u)-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u^+_{k,l,\epsilon}\right) \\
&\ge \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2 b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u)),
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

as $u\to\infty, \epsilon\to 0$. For ϵ sufficiently small, by the same arguments as given in (58) and (59), there exists a function $A(\cdot)>0$ such that $\lim_{S\to\infty} A(S) = 0$ and

$$\Sigma_3(u) \le A(S)\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u)), \quad u\to\infty. \tag{65}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k,l}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u^-_{k,l,\epsilon} \sup_{(s,t)\in J_{k_1,l_1}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) > u^-_{k_1,l_1,\epsilon}\right) \\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t,s',t')\in J_{k,l}(u)\times J_{k_1,l_1}(u)} \overline{Y_u}(s,t) + \overline{Y_u}(s',t') > 2u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon}\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t,s',t')\in J^*(u)} \xi_{u,k,l}(s,t) + \xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s',t') > 2u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t) = \overline{Y_u}\left(\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}kS+s, \frac{t_u}{u}+\frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}lS+t\right)$, $J^*(u) = \left[0, \frac{\Delta(u,t_u)}{u}S\right]^4$ and $u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon} = \min(u^-_{k,l,\epsilon}, u^-_{k_1,l_1,\epsilon})$. In light of Lemma 5.5 in [8], we have that for any $0<\epsilon<1$ there exists $0<c_\epsilon<1$ such that

$$\sup_{(s,t,s',t')\in J^*(u)} Var\left(\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t) + \xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s',t')\right) = 2 + 2Corr(\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t), \xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s',t')) < 4 - c_\epsilon$$

holds for any $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_4$, and for any $0<c'_L<\frac{2(1-\beta)}{2\beta+1}$, when L is sufficiently large,

$$\sup_{(s,t,s',t')\in J^*(u)} Var\left(\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t) + \xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s',t')\right) = 2 + 2Corr(\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t), \xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s',t')) < 2 + c'_L$$

holds for any $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_5$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.5 and (19),

$$\begin{aligned}
&Var\left(\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t)+\xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s',t')-\xi_{u,k,l}(s_1,t_1)-\xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s_1',t_1')\right)\\
&\le 2Var\left(\xi_{u,k,l}(s,t)-\xi_{u,k,l}(s_1,t_1)\right)+2Var\left(\xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s',t')-\xi_{u,k_1,l_1}(s_1',t_1')\right)\\
&\le 2\frac{\sigma^2(u|s-s_1|)+\sigma^2(u|t-t_1|)}{\sigma^2(ut^*)}+2\frac{\sigma^2(u|s'-s_1'|)+\sigma^2(u|t'-t_1'|)}{\sigma^2(ut^*)}\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}\left(|s-s_1|^\lambda+|t-t_1|^\lambda+|s'-s_1'|^\lambda+|t'-t_1'|^\lambda\right)
\end{aligned}$$

holds for $(s,t,s',t'),(s_1,t_1,s_1',t_1')\in J^*(u)$ and $(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_4\cup\Lambda_4$ with $0<\lambda<\min(\alpha_0,\alpha_\infty)$. By Lemma 5.1 in [4], we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_4(u) &\le \mathbb{Q}\sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_4}(m(u,t_u))^{\frac{8}{\lambda}}\,\Psi\left(\frac{2u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon}}{\sqrt{4-c_\epsilon}}\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}\sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_4}(m(u,t_u))^{\frac{8}{\lambda}}\,\Psi\left(\frac{2m(u,t_u)}{\sqrt{4-c_\epsilon}}\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}N_3(u)N_4^2(u)\frac{uL}{\Delta(u,t_u)S}(m(u,t_u))^{\frac{8}{\lambda}}\Psi\left(\frac{2m(u,t_u)}{\sqrt{4-c_\epsilon}}\right)=o\left(\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u))\right),\quad u\to\infty, \qquad (66)
\end{aligned}$$

and for L sufficiently large, recalling that $0<c_L'<\frac{2(1-\beta)}{2\beta+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_5(u) &\le \mathbb{Q}\sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_5}(m(u,t_u))^{\frac{8}{\lambda}}\,\Psi\left(\frac{2u^-_{k,l,k_1,l_1,\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2+c_L'}}\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}\sum_{(k,l,k_1,l_1)\in\Lambda_5}(m(u,t_u))^{\frac{8}{\lambda}}\,\Psi\left(\frac{2m(u,t_u)}{\sqrt{2+c_L'}}\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}N_3^2(u)N_4^2(u)\,(m(u,t_u))^{\frac{8}{\lambda}}\,\Psi\left(\frac{2m(u,t_u)}{\sqrt{2+c_L'}}\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{u(\ln m(u,t_u))^2}{(m(u,t_u))^{1-8/\lambda}\Delta^2(u,t_u)}(T_u-t_u)e^{-\frac{2-c_L'}{2(2+c_L')}m^2(u,t_u)}\right)\left(\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u))\right)\\
&= o\left(\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u))\right),\quad u\to\infty. \qquad (67)
\end{aligned}$$

The combination of (63)-(67) yields that

$$\Pi_8^{\sup}(u)\ge\left(\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}[0,S]}{S}\right)^2 b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}-A(S)\right)\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u))(1+o(1)),\quad u\to\infty.$$

Analysis of $\Pi_7^{\sup}(u)$. The stationarity of increments of X yields that

$$\Pi_7^{\sup}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in[0,(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u)]\times[t_u/u-(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u),t_u/u]}Y_u(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right).$$

Using the same arguments as given in (55) we have

$$\Pi_7^{\sup}(u)\le\mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\right)^2\Psi(m(u,t_u))=o\left(\Pi_8^{\sup}(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty.$$

Analysis of $\Pi_9^{\sup}(u)$. Let $\widetilde{D}(u)=\{(s,t):0\le s\le\max(T_u/u,2t^*),t\ge s\}$ and $E_8(u)=\{(s,t):0\le s\le t\le 2t^*,|t-s-t_u/u|>(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u)\}$. We have

$$\Pi_9^{\sup}(u)\le\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E_8(u)}Y_u(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\widetilde{D}(u)\setminus E_4(u)}Y_u(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right).$$

Using the same argument as given in (50), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in E_8(u)}Y_u(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right)\le\mathbb{Q}_2\left(m(u,t_u)\right)^{4/\lambda}e^{-\frac{\mathbb{Q}(\ln m(u,t_u))^2}{2}}\Psi\left(m(u,t_u)\right)=o\left(\Pi_8^{\sup}(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty.$$

By Lemma 5.6 in [8], we derive

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in\widetilde{D}(u)\setminus E_4(u)} Y_u(s,t) > m(u,t_u)\right) = o\left(\frac{u(T_u-t_u)}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u))\right).$$

Consequently,

$$\Pi_9^{\sup}(u) = o\left(\Pi_8^{\sup}(u)\right), \quad u\to\infty. \tag{68}$$

Inserting all the above asymptotics in (61), first letting $u\to\infty$ and then letting $S\to\infty$, we obtain

$$\pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u) \sim \left(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}\right)^2 b^{-1/2}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{(T_u-t_u)u}{m(u,t_u)(\Delta(u,t_u))^2}\Psi(m(u,t_u)).$$

This completes the proof. □

6.8. **Proof of Theorem 3.7.** Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{x,T_u}(u) &= \pi_{0,T_u}(u) + \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)\\ &\quad -\mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u)-cT_u > u-x, \sup_{0\le s\le T_u}(X(T_u)-X(s)-c(T_u-s)) > u\right).\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

The strategy of the proof is the same as used in the proof of Theorem 3.3, i.e., if $\pi_{0,T_u}(u)$ or $\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)$ is asymptotically dominating, then it determines the asymptotics of $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)$ as $u\to\infty$. We next provide separate proofs for $\alpha_\infty<1/2$ and $\alpha_\infty\ge 1/2$.

$\diamond$ Case $\alpha_\infty<1/2$. For this case, we distinguish three scenarios.

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty<1/2$, $\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{\sqrt{u}} < \sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x}$. We shall prove that $\pi_{0,T_u}(u) = o\left(\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)\right)$ as $u\to\infty$. By Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi_{0,T_u}(u)}{\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)} &\sim \mathbb{Q}u^{-\beta}e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(m^2(u,T_u)-m^2(u,t_u)\right)-\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}x}\\ &\sim \mathbb{Q}e^{\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}\left(\frac{\sigma^2(T_u)}{2(u+cT_u)}\left(m^2(u,T_u)-m^2(u,t_u)\right)-\beta\frac{\sigma^2(T_u)}{u+cT_u}\ln u-x\right)},\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

with β defined in (49). Lemma 5.4 yields that there exists a sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sigma^2(T_u)}{2(u+cT_u)}\left(m^2(u,T_u)-m^2(u,t_u)\right) &= \frac{\sigma^2(T_u)}{2(u+cT_u)}m^2(u,T_u)\left(1-\frac{m^2(u,t_u)}{m^2(u,T_u)}\right)\\ &\sim \frac{u}{2(1-\alpha_\infty)}\left(1-\frac{m^2(u,t_u)}{m^2(u,T_u)}\right)\\ &\sim \frac{B}{2A(1-\alpha_\infty)}\left(\frac{T_u-t_u}{\sqrt{u}}\right)^2 < x-\epsilon, \quad u\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\sigma^2(T_u)}{u+cT_u}\ln u \sim \mathbb{Q}u^{2\alpha_\infty-1}\ln u \to 0, \tag{72}$$

and

$$\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)} \sim \mathbb{Q}u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}\to\infty. \tag{73}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\pi_{0,T_u}(u)}{\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)} \le \mathbb{Q}e^{-\epsilon\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma^2(T_u)}}\to 0, \quad u\to\infty,$$

which establishes the claim.

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty < 1/2$, $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{T_u - t_u}{\sqrt{u}} = \sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x}$. Since for this case the above proof doesn't work we shall prove that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u) - cT_u > u - x, \sup_{0\le s\le T_u}(X(T_u) - X(s) - c(T_u - s)) > u\right)$$

is negligible compared with $\pi_{0,T_u}(u) + \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)$, which by (69) gives that $\pi_{x,T_u}(u) \sim \pi_{0,T_u}(u) + \Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)$ as $u\to\infty$. We begin with observation that for each $y>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left(X(T_u) - cT_u > u - x, \sup_{0\le s\le T_u}(X(T_u) - X(s) - c(T_u - s)) > u\right)\\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}(T_u) > m(u-x,T_u), \sup_{0\le s\le T_u}(X(s) - cs) > u\right) \le \Pi_{10}(u,y) + \Pi_{11}(u,y),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Pi_{10}(u,y) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,T_u/u]\setminus[t_u/u - y/m(u,t_u), t_u/u + y/m(u,t_u)]}(X(us) - cus) > u\right),$$

and

$$\Pi_{11}(u,y) = \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}(T_u) > m(u-x,T_u), \sup_{|s-t_u/u|\le y/m(u,t_u)}\overline{X}(us) > m(u,t_u)\right).$$

Analysis of $\Pi_{10}(u,y)$. Observe that

$$\Pi_{10}(u,y) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in[t_u + yu/m(u,t_u), T_u]}(X(s) - cs) > u\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in[0, t_u - yu/m(u,t_u)]}(X(s) - cs) > u\right).$$

Due to the fact that $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{uy}{m(u,t_u)u^{\alpha_\infty}} = \frac{y\sqrt{A_\infty}(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}{1+ct^*}$, by Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in[0, t_u - yu/m(u,t_u)]}(X(s) - cs) > u\right) \sim \Phi(-\sqrt{BA^{-1}}y)\pi_{0,T_u}(u), \quad u\to\infty.$$

Following the same arguments as given in the proof of Theorem 3.5 for $w=\infty$, we derive that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in[t_u + yu/m(u,t_u), T_u]}(X(s) - cs) > u\right) \sim \left(1 - \Phi(\sqrt{BA^{-1}}y)\right)\pi_{0,T_u}(u), \quad u\to\infty.$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_{10}(u,y)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u)} \le 2\left(1 - \Phi(\sqrt{BA^{-1}}y)\right).$$

Analysis of $\Pi_{11}(u,y)$. We have

$$\Pi_{11}(u,y) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{|s-t_u/u|\le y/m(u,t_u)}\left(\overline{X}(us) + \overline{X}(T_u)\right) > m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,T_u)\right).$$

By the fact that $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u - t_u}{\sqrt{u}} = \sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x}$, we have for u sufficiently large,

$$\inf_{s\in[t_u/u - y/m(u,t_u), t_u/u + y/m(u,t_u)]}|T_u - us| \ge T_u - t_u - yu/m(u,t_u) \ge \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)xu},$$

which together with Lemma 5.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}2 \le Var\left(\overline{X}(us) + \overline{X}(T_u)\right) &= 4 - 2(1 - r_u(0, T_u/u, 0, s))\\ &\le 4 - \mathbb{Q}\frac{\sigma^2(|T_u - us|)}{\sigma^2(t_u)}\\ &\le 4 - \mathbb{Q}\frac{\sigma^2(|T_u - us|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)}\\ &\le 4 - \mathbb{Q}\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)}\end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in [t_u/u - y/m(u,t_u), t_u/u + y/m(u,t_u)]$. Moreover, for $s,t \in [t_u/u - y/m(u,t_u), t_u/u + y/m(u,t_u)]$ and u large enough

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - Corr(\overline{X}(us) + \overline{X}(T_u), \overline{X}(ut) + \overline{X}(T_u)) &\leq \frac{Var(\overline{X}(us) - \overline{X}(ut))}{2\sqrt{Var\left(\overline{X}(us) + \overline{X}(T_u)\right) Var\left(\overline{X}(ut) + \overline{X}(T_u)\right)}} \\ &\leq \frac{1 - r_u(0,s,0,t)}{2} \leq C\frac{\sigma^2(u|s-t|)}{\sigma^2(ut^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

with $C > 0$ a fixed constant. By **AII**, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for u sufficiently large,

$$\frac{\sigma^2(u|s-t|)}{\sigma^2(ut^*)} = \frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u)|u(s-t)/\Delta(u,t_u)|)}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))}\frac{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))}{\sigma^2(ut^*)} \leq C_1\frac{\left|\frac{u}{\Delta(u,t_u)}(s-t)\right|^{\alpha_0/2}}{m^2(u,t_u)}$$

for $s,t \in J_k(u) := [t_u/u + k\Delta(u,t_u)/u, t_u/u + (k+1)\Delta(u,t_u)/u]$ with $k \in \mathcal{K} := \{k, J_k(u) \cap [t_u/u - y/m(u,t_u), t_u + y/m(u,t_u)] \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $Z_u(t)$ be a family of stationary Gaussian processes with continuous trajectories, unit variances and correlations satisfying

$$Corr(Z_u(t), Z_u(s)) = e^{-2CC_1\left(\frac{u}{\Delta(u,t_u)}\right)^{\alpha_0/2}\frac{|t-s|^{\alpha_0/2}}{m^2(u,t_u)}}.$$

Thus by Slepian's inequality and Lemma 6.1 in [27] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{11}(u,y) &\leq \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in J_k(u)} \overline{\overline{X}(us) + \overline{X}(T_u)} > \frac{m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,T_u)}{\sqrt{4 - \mathbb{Q}\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)}}}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in J_k(u)} Z_u(s) > \frac{m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,T_u)}{\sqrt{4 - \mathbb{Q}\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)}}}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in J_0(u)} Z_u(s) > \frac{m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,T_u)}{\sqrt{4 - \mathbb{Q}\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)}}}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\Psi\left(\frac{m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,T_u)}{\sqrt{4 - \mathbb{Q}\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)}}}\right)(1+o(1)), \quad u \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for u large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Pi_{11}(u,y)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u)} &\leq \mathbb{Q}_1 \exp\left(-\frac{(m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,T_u))^2}{8 - 2\mathbb{Q}\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)}} + \frac{m^2(u,t_u)}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}_1 \exp\left(-\frac{(m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,T_u))^2}{8} - \mathbb{Q}_2\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))} + \frac{m^2(u,t_u)}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{Q}_1 \exp\left(\frac{m^2(u,t_u)}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{m(u-x,T_u)}{m(u,t_u)}\right)^2 - 2\mathbb{Q}_2\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{m(u-x,T_u)}{m(u,t_u)}\right)^2 &\sim 1 - \frac{m(u-x,T_u)}{m(u,t_u)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{m(u,T_u)}{m(u,t_u)} + \frac{x}{m(u,t_u)\sigma(T_u)} \\ &\sim -\frac{B}{2A}\left(\frac{T_u - t_u}{u}\right)^2(1+o(1)) + \frac{x}{u(1+ct^*)}(1+o(1)) = O(u^{-1}), \quad u \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\sigma^2(\sqrt{u})}{\sigma^2(\Delta(u,t_u))m^2(u,t_u)} \sim \mathbb{Q}_3 u^{-\alpha_\infty}.$$

Hence, as $u \to \infty$,

$$\frac{\Pi_{11}(u,y)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u)} \leq \mathbb{Q}_4 e^{-\mathbb{Q}_5 m^2(u,t_u)u^{-\alpha_\infty}} \sim \mathbb{Q}_4 e^{-\mathbb{Q}_5 u^{2-3\alpha_\infty}} \to 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{y\to\infty}\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\Pi_{10}(u,y)+\Pi_{11}(u,y)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u)}=0,$$

implying that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}(T_u)>m(u-x,T_u),\sup_{0\le s\le T_u}(X(s)-cs)>u\right)=o(\pi_{0,T_u}(u)).$$

Thus in view of (69), we have

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u)+\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right),\quad u\to\infty.$$

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty<1/2$, $\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{\sqrt{u}}>\sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x}$. First we consider special T_u with

$$T_u^*(y)=t_u+\sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)uy},\quad\text{with}\quad y>x$$

to prove that $\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u^*(y)}{\sigma(T_u^*(y))}\right)=o\left(\pi_{0,T_u^*(y)}(u)\right)$ as $u\to\infty$ and then by using the monotonicity of $\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)$ with respect to T_u we extend this result to all T_u considered.

Let us first consider the case for $T_u=T_u^*(y)$. From (71), there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that for u sufficiently large,

$$\frac{\sigma^2(T_u^*(y))}{2(u+cT_u^*(y))}\left(m^2(u,T_u)-m^2(u,t_u)\right)\quad\sim\quad\frac{B}{2A(1-\alpha_\infty)}u^{-1}(T_u^*(y)-t_u)^2>x+\epsilon$$

which combined with (70), (72) and (73) leads to for any $y>x$

$$\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u^*(y)}{\sigma(T_u^*(y))}\right)=o\left(\pi_{0,T_u^*(y)}(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty. \tag{74}$$

Next we show the monotonicity of $\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)$ with respect to T_u sufficiently large. Let t_{u-x} denote the maximizer of $m(u-x,\cdot)$ over $(0,\infty)$. Then by Lemma 5.4, $m(u-x,\cdot)$ is increasing over $[t_{u-x},\infty)$. By (82) in Appendix, it follows that $t_u-t_{u-x}\to t^*x$ as $u\to\infty$. Therefore, $m(u-x,\cdot)$ is increasing over $[t_u+\sqrt{b^{-1}(1-\alpha_\infty)uy},\infty)$ with $y\in(x,\infty)$. Note that for each T_u satisfying $\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{\sqrt{u}}>\sqrt{\frac{2A}{B}(1-\alpha_\infty)x}$, there exists $y\in(x,\infty)$ such that for u large enough $T_u\ge T_u^*(y)$. Thus by the monotonicity of $m(u-x,\cdot)$, we have that

$$\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)\le\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u^*(y)}{\sigma(T_u^*(y))}\right). \tag{75}$$

By (74)-(75) and the fact for any $y>0$

$$\pi_{0,T_u^*(y)}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u),\quad u\to\infty,$$

we have

$$\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)=o\left(\pi_{0,T_u}(u)\right),\quad u\to\infty.$$

Hence, $\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u)$, as $u\to\infty$.

$\diamond$ Case $\alpha_\infty\ge1/2$.

By Theorem 3.5 and the fact that

$$\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)\sim\Psi\left(\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)e^{\frac{(u+cT_u)x}{\sigma^2(T_u)}}\sim\mathbb{Q}\Psi\left(\frac{u+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right),\quad u\to\infty,$$

we have

$$\Psi\left(\frac{u-x+cT_u}{\sigma(T_u)}\right)=o(\pi_{0,T_u}(u)),\quad u\to\infty.$$

Hence,

$$\pi_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u),\quad u\to\infty.$$

This completes the proof. □

6.9. **Proof of Theorem 3.8.** Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u) &= \pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)+\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)\\ &\quad -\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-ct)>u-x,\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s))>u\right),\end{aligned}\tag{76}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we observe that if $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)$ or $\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)$ is asymptotically dominating, then it determines the asymptotics of $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)$ as $u\to\infty$. We consider two scenarios: $\alpha_\infty<1/2$ and $\alpha_\infty\ge 1/2$.

$\diamond$ Case $\alpha_\infty<1/2$. We distinguish four subcases.

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty<1/2$, $w\in(-\infty,\infty)$. By Theorem 3.5, we have that

$$\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u)e^{xk_u(x)u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}},\tag{77}$$

where $\lim_{u\to\infty}k_u(x)\to\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}$. Asymptotics (77) follows from Theorem 3.5 and its proof is displayed in Appendix. It follows from (77), Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 that

$$\frac{\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)}\sim\mathbb{Q}\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}e^{-xk_u(x)u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}\to 0,\quad u\to\infty,$$

which combined with (76) gives that $\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x), u\to\infty$.

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty<1/2$, $w=\infty$ and $\limsup_{u\to\infty}u^{2\alpha_\infty-1}\ln(T_u-t_u)<\frac{(1+ct^*)x}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}$. In light of (77), Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, we have

$$\frac{\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)}\sim\mathbb{Q}\frac{T_u-t_u}{\Delta(u,t_u)}e^{-xk_u(x)u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}}\to 0,\quad u\to\infty,$$

implying that

$$\pi^{\sup}_{x,T_u}(u)\sim\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x),\quad u\to\infty.$$

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty<1/2$, $\lim_{u\to\infty}u^{2\alpha_\infty-1}\ln(T_u-t_u)=\frac{(1+ct^*)x}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}$. Denote by

$$\Pi_{12}(u):=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-ct)>u-x,\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T_u}(X(t)-X(s)-c(t-s))>u\right).$$

We shall prove that $\Pi_{12}(u)$ is negligible in comparison with $\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)+\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)$, as $u\to\infty$. Observe that for any $\epsilon>0$ and u sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{12}(u) &\le \sum_{k=0}^{2[T_u/u]}\Pi_{0,k}(u)+\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in D(u)\setminus E_4(u)}Y_{u,0}(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right)\\ &\quad +\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T_u/(u-x)]\setminus E_2(u-x)}Y_{u-x,0}(0,t)>m(u-x,t_{u-x})\right),\end{aligned}\tag{78}$$

where

$$\Pi_{0,k}(u)=\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in E_2(u-x)}Y_{u-x,0}(0,t)>m(u-x,t_{u-x}),\sup_{(s,t)\in E_9(u)}Y_{u,k}(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right),$$

with $Y_{u,k}(s,t)=\frac{X(ut+uk\epsilon)-X(us+uk\epsilon)}{u(1+c(t-s))}m(u,t_u), k\ge 0$, $E_9(u)=\{(s,t),0\le s\le\epsilon,|t-s-t_u/u|<(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u)\}$, and recalling that $D(u)=\{(s,t),0\le s\le t\le T_u/u\}$, $E_2(u)=[t_u/u-\ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u),t_u/u+\ln m(u,t_u)/m(u,t_u)]$, and $E_4(u)=\{(s,t)\in D(u),|t-s-t_u/u|<(m(u,t_u))^{-1}\ln m(u,t_u)\}$.

Analysis of $\Pi_{0,k}(u), 0\le k\le 2[T_u/u]$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{0,k}(u) &\le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in E_2(u-x)}\overline{Y}_{u-x,0}(0,t)>m(u-x,t_{u-x}),\sup_{(s,t)\in E_9(u)}\overline{Y}_{u,k}(s,t)>m(u,t_u)\right)\\ &\le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t_1\in E_2(u-x),(s,t)\in E_9(u)}\overline{Y}_{u-x,0}(0,t_1)+\overline{Y}_{u,k}(s,t)>m(u-x,t_{u-x})+m(u,t_u)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Mean value theorem leads to

$$Var\left(\overline{Y}_{u-x,0}(0,t_1)+\overline{Y}_{u,k}(s,t)\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= 2 + 2\mathbb{E}\left(\overline{X}((u-x)t_1)\overline{X(ut+uk\epsilon) - X(us+uk\epsilon)}\right)\\
&= 2 + \frac{\sigma^2(ut+uk\epsilon) - \sigma^2(us+uk\epsilon) - (\sigma^2(ut+uk\epsilon-ut_1+xt_1) - \sigma^2(us+uk\epsilon-ut_1+xt_1))}{\sigma(ut_1-xt_1)\sigma(u(t-s))}\\
&= 2 + \frac{(\dot{\sigma^2}(ut+uk\epsilon+\theta) - \dot{\sigma^2}(us+uk\epsilon+\theta))(u-x)t_1}{\sigma(ut_1-xt_1)\sigma(u(t-s))}\\
&= 2 + \frac{\ddot{\sigma^2}(\theta+\theta_1)(u-x)t_1u(t-s)}{\sigma(ut_1-xt_1)\sigma(u(t-s))},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $1 \le k \le 2[T_u/u]$ with $t_1 \in E_2(u-x), (s,t) \in E_9(u)$, $\theta \in (0,(u-x)t_1)$ and $\theta_1 \in (us+uk\epsilon, ut+uk\epsilon)$. By Theorem 1.7.2 and uniform convergence theorem in [1], and noting the fact that $\alpha_\infty < 1/2$, we have that

$$
\frac{\ddot{\sigma^2}(\theta+\theta_1)(u-x)t_1u(t-s)}{\sigma(ut_1-xt_1)\sigma(u(t-s))} \sim 2\alpha_\infty(2\alpha_\infty-1)\frac{\sigma^2(\theta+\theta_1)}{(\theta+\theta_1)^2}\frac{(ut^*)^2}{\sigma^2(ut^*)} < 0
$$

holds for $t_1 \in E_2(u-x), (s,t) \in E_9(u)$ and u sufficiently large. This implies that for u large enough,

$$
Var\left(\overline{Y}_{u-x,0}(0,t_1) + \overline{Y}_{u,k}(s,t)\right) \le 2, \quad 0 \le k \le 2[T_u/u].
$$

In view of Lemma 5.5 and (19), for ϵ sufficiently small and u sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Var\left(\overline{Y}_{u-x,0}(0,t_1) + \overline{Y}_{u,k}(s,t) - \overline{Y}_{u-x,0}(0,t_1') - \overline{Y}_{u,k}(s',t')\right)\\
&= Var\left(\overline{X}((u-x)t_1) - \overline{X}((u-x)t_1') + \overline{X(ut+uk\epsilon) - X(us+uk\epsilon)} - \overline{X(ut'+uk\epsilon) - X(us'+uk\epsilon)}\right)\\
&\le 2Var\left(\overline{X}((u-x)t_1) - \overline{X}((u-x)t_1')\right) + 2Var\left(\overline{X(ut+uk\epsilon) - X(us+uk\epsilon)} - \overline{X(ut'+uk\epsilon) - X(us'+uk\epsilon)}\right)\\
&= 4\left(1 - r_{u-x}(0,t_1,0,t_1') + 1 - r_u(s,t,s',t')\right)\\
&\le 8\frac{\sigma^2(u|t_1-t_1'|) + \sigma^2(u|s-s'|) + \sigma^2(u|t-t'|)}{\sigma^2(ut^*)}\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}(|t_1-t_1'|^\lambda + |s-s'|^\lambda + |t-t'|^\lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for $0 < \lambda < \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$ $(t_1,s,t),(t_1',s',t') \in E_2(u-x) \times E_9(u)$, and $0 \le k \le 2[T_u/u]$. By the fact that $E_2(u-x) \times E_9(u) \subset [-2t^*, 2t^*] \times [-\epsilon,\epsilon] \times [-t^*-2\epsilon, t^*+2\epsilon]$ for u large enough and using Lemma 5.1 in [4], we have that for u large enough,

$$
\Pi_{0,k}(u) \le \mathbb{Q}\left(m(u,t_u)\right)^{\frac{6}{\lambda}} \Psi\left(\frac{m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,t_{u-x})}{\sqrt{2}}\right)
$$

for all $0 \le k \le 2[T_u/u]$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{2[T_u/u]} \Pi_{0,k}(u) &\le \mathbb{Q}u^{-1}T_u\left(m(u,t_u)\right)^{\frac{6}{\lambda}} \Psi\left(\frac{m(u,t_u) + m(u-x,t_{u-x})}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{Q}u^{-1}T_u\left(m(u,t_u)\right)^{\frac{6}{\lambda}} \Psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}m(u,t_u)\right) = o\left(\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)\right), \quad u \to \infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Analysis of the other terms. Following (78) in order to complete the proof, it is left by to prove that as $u \to \infty$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T_u/(u-x)] \setminus E_2(u-x)} Y_{u-x,0}(t,0) > m(u-x,t_{u-x})\right) = o(\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)) \tag{79}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in D(u) \setminus E_4(u)} Y_{u,0}(s,t) > m(u,t_u)\right) = o(\pi^{\sup}_{0,T_u}(u)). \tag{80}
$$

Observe by (48) that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T_u/(u-x)] \setminus E_2(u-x)} Y_{u-x,0}(t,0) > m(u-x,t_{u-x})\right) = \Pi_4(u-x).
$$

Hence following the analysis of $\Pi_4(u)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.5 for case $w=\infty$, we establish (79). Additionally by (62),

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in D(u)\setminus E_4(u)} Y_{u,0}(s,t) > m(u,t_u)\right) = \Pi_9^{\sup}(u).$$

Then (80) follows by (68). Consequently,

$$\Pi_{12}(u) = o\left(\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x) + \pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u)\right), \quad u\to\infty,$$

which gives that

$$\pi_{x,T_u}^{\sup}(u) \sim \pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u) + \pi_{0,T_u}(u-x), \quad u\to\infty.$$

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty < 1/2$, $\liminf_{u\to\infty} u^{2\alpha_\infty-1}\ln(T_u-t_u) > \frac{(1+ct^*)x}{A_\infty (t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}$. By (77), Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, we have

$$\frac{\pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)} \sim \mathbb{Q}\frac{T_u-t_u}{\Delta(u,t_u)} e^{-xk_u(x)u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}} \to \infty, \quad u\to\infty,$$

implying that

$$\pi_{x,T_u}^{\sup}(u) \sim \pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u).$$

$\diamond$ Case $\alpha_\infty \geq 1/2$. We distinguish between two subscenarios: $w\in(-\infty,\infty)$ and $w=\infty$.

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty \geq 1/2$, $w\in(-\infty,\infty)$. By (77), Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, we have

$$\frac{\pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)} \sim \mathbb{Q}\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)} \to \infty, \quad u\to\infty,$$

which gives that

$$\pi_{x,T_u}^{\sup}(u) \sim \pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u).$$

$\diamond$ Subcase $\alpha_\infty \geq 1/2$, $w=\infty$. By (77), Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, having in mind that $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{u^{\alpha_\infty}}=\infty$, we have

$$\frac{\pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u)}{\pi_{0,T_u}(u-x)} \sim \mathbb{Q}\frac{T_u-t_u}{\Delta(u,t_u)} \sim \mathbb{Q}u^{\alpha_\infty+1/\alpha_\infty-2}\left(\frac{T_u-t_u}{u^{\alpha_\infty}}\right) \to \infty, \quad u\to\infty,$$

which gives that

$$\pi_{x,T_u}^{\sup}(u) \sim \pi_{0,T_u}^{\sup}(u).$$

This completes the proof. □

7. Appendix

In the appendix we give proofs of some results that are of technical nature.

7.1. **Proof of Lemma 5.3.** We first note that for u sufficiently large, the minimizer of $m(u,\cdot)$ over $[0,T_u]$ is larger than any positive constant T. Thus we focus on the the interval $[T,T_u]$. Theorem 1.7.2 in [1] yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(\dot\sigma(t))^2-\ddot\sigma(t)\sigma(t)}{(\dot\sigma(t))^2}-1 &= -\frac{\ddot\sigma(t)}{\dot\sigma(t)}\frac{\sigma(t)}{\dot\sigma(t)}\\ &= -\frac{2\sigma^2(t)}{t\dot{\sigma^2}(t)}\left(\frac{t\ddot{\sigma^2}(t)}{\dot{\sigma^2}(t)}-\frac{t\dot{\sigma^2}(t)}{2\sigma^2(t)}\right) \to \frac{1-\alpha_\infty}{\alpha_\infty}>0, \quad t\to\infty,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\frac{\sigma(t)}{\dot\sigma(t)}-t$ is increasing on interval $[T,\infty]$ for T large enough. Further, Theorem 1.7.2 in [1] leads to, for T sufficiently large and $u\to\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sigma(t)}{\dot\sigma(t)}-t-\frac{u}{c} \leq \frac{\sigma(T_u)}{\dot\sigma(T_u)}-T_u-\frac{u}{c} &= \frac{u}{c}\left(\frac{\sigma(T_u)}{T_u\dot\sigma(T_u)}c\frac{T_u}{u}-c\frac{T_u}{u}-1\right)\\ &\sim \frac{u}{c}\left(\frac{c(1-\alpha_\infty)}{\alpha_\infty}\gamma-1\right)<0, \quad t\in[T,T_u],\end{aligned}$$

and as $t \to \infty$,

$$\dot{\sigma}(t) = \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\right)\left(\frac{t\dot{\sigma}(t)}{\sigma(t)}\right) \sim \frac{\alpha_\infty \sigma(t)}{t} > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\dot{m}(u,t) = \frac{c\sigma(t) - \dot{\sigma}(t)(u+ct)}{\sigma^2(t)} = \frac{c\dot{\sigma}(t)}{\sigma^2(t)}\left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{\dot{\sigma}(t)} - t - \frac{u}{c}\right) < 0, \quad t \in [T, T_u],$$

which implies that the minimum point is unique and equal to T_u. Moreover, by Theorem 1.7.2 and uniform convergence theorem in [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{m(u,T_u)}{m(u,T_u t)} &= 1 - \frac{\sigma(T_u t)}{\sigma(T_u)}\frac{u+cT_u}{u+cT_u t} \\ &\sim \frac{T_u \dot{\sigma}(T_u\theta)}{\sigma(T_u)}(1-t) + 1 - \frac{1}{1-\frac{cT_u}{1+cT_u}(1-t)} \\ &\sim \left(\alpha_\infty - \frac{c\gamma}{1+c\gamma}\right)(1-t), \quad t \to 1, \end{aligned}$$

with $\theta \in (t,1)$. This completes the proof. □

7.2. **Proof of Lemma 5.6.** Observe that

$$\Omega(u,T_u) = \frac{(u+cT_u)^2}{\sigma^2(T_u)}\frac{\overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2(T_u)}{u+cT_u}\right)}{T_u} \sim \mathbb{Q}\frac{u}{T_u^{2\alpha_\infty}}\overleftarrow{\sigma}\left(\frac{T_u^{2\alpha_\infty}}{u}\right)\frac{u}{T_u}, \quad u \to \infty.$$

If $\varphi = 0$, then

$$\Omega(u,T_u) \sim \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{T_u^{2\alpha_\infty}}{u}\right)^{1/\alpha_0 - 1}\frac{u}{T_u}.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) \in (0,\infty], \quad \text{with } \alpha_0 = 1,$$
$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = \mathbb{Q}\lim_{u\to\infty} T_u^{2\alpha_\infty - 1} = 0, \quad \text{with } \alpha_0 = 1/2, \alpha_\infty < 1/2.$$

If $\varphi \in (0,\infty)$, then

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \Omega(u,T_u) = \mathbb{Q}\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{u}{T_u} \in (0,\infty].$$

If $\varphi = \infty$, then

$$\Omega(u,T_u) \sim \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{T_u^{2\alpha_\infty}}{u}\right)^{1/\alpha_\infty - 1}\frac{u}{T_u} \to \infty, \quad u \to \infty.$$

This completes the proof. □

7.3. **Proof of (77).** Noting that

$$\frac{t_{u-x}}{u} \to t^*, \quad \text{and} \quad m(u-x, t_{u-x}) \sim m(u,t_u), \quad u \to \infty,$$

and in light of the proof of Theorem 3.5, we derive that for $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u - t_{u-x}}{(u-x)^{\alpha_\infty}} = w \in (-\infty,\infty]$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{0,T_u}(u-x) &\sim \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{AA_\infty}}\frac{(1+ct^*)\omega}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}\right)\Psi(m(u-x,t_{u-x})) \\ &\sim \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{\alpha_\infty}}\sqrt{\frac{2A\pi}{B}}\frac{u}{m(u,t_u)\Delta(u,t_u)}\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{AA_\infty}}\frac{(1+ct^*)\omega}{(t^*)^{\alpha_\infty}}\right)\Psi(m(u,t_u))e^{\frac{m^2(u,t_u)-m^2(u-x,t_{u-x})}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we analyze $t_u - t_{u-x}$ and $m^2(u,t_u) - m^2(u-x,t_{u-x})$. We have

$$m^2(u,t_u) - m^2(u-x,t_{u-x}) = \frac{(u+ct_u)^2}{\sigma^2(t_u)}\left(1 - \frac{\left(1-\frac{x+c(t_u-t_{u-x})}{u+ct_u}\right)^2}{1-\frac{\sigma^2(t_u)-\sigma^2(t_{u-x})}{\sigma^2(t_u)}}\right). \tag{81}$$

By the fact that t_u is the minimizer of $m(u,\cdot)$ and the differentiability of $m(u,\cdot)$ with respect to t, we have

$$\dot{m}(u,\cdot)\big|_{t=t_u}=0,$$

which implies that

$$\dot{\sigma}(t_u)(u+ct_u)=c\sigma(t_u).$$

By implicit function theorem (see, e.g., [31]), we conclude that t_u is continuously differentiable with respect to u for u large enough. Therefore, making differential on both sides of the above equation with respect to u yields that

$$\ddot{\sigma}(t_u)\dot{t}_u(u+ct_u)+\dot{\sigma}(t_u)(1+c\dot{t}_u)=c\dot{\sigma}(t_u)\dot{t}_u,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\dot{t}_u=-\frac{\dot{\sigma}(t_u)}{(u+ct_u)\ddot{\sigma}(t_u)}=-\frac{t_u}{u+ct_u}\frac{\dot{\sigma}(t_u)}{t_u\ddot{\sigma}(t_u)}.$$

By Theorem 1.7.2 in [1], we have

$$\dot{t}_u\to t^*,\quad u\to\infty,$$

implying that

$$t_u-t_{u-x}=\dot{t}_{u-\theta}x\to t^*x, \tag{82}$$

$$\frac{\sigma^2(t_u)-\sigma^2(t_{u-x})}{\sigma^2(t_u)} = \frac{\dot{\sigma^2}(t_{u-\theta_1})}{\sigma^2(t_u)}(t_u-t_{u-x})\sim\frac{2\alpha_\infty x}{u},\quad u\to\infty,$$

with $\theta,\theta_1\in(0,x)$. Inserting the above asymptotics into (81) we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^2(u,t_u)-m^2(u-x,t_{u-x}) &= \frac{(u+ct_u)^2}{\sigma^2(t_u)}\frac{2(1-\alpha_\infty)x}{u}(1+o(1))\\ &= 2u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}\frac{(1+ct^*)^2}{(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}\frac{1-\alpha_\infty}{A_\infty}x(1+o(1))=2u^{1-2\alpha_\infty}k_u(x)x, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_u(x)=\frac{1+ct^*}{A_\infty(t^*)^{2\alpha_\infty}}(1+o(1))$. Moreover, for $w\in(-\infty,\infty]$, $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_{u-x}}{(u-x)^{\alpha_\infty}}=w$ is equivalent to $\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{T_u-t_u}{u^{\alpha_\infty}}=w$. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgement: K. Dębicki was partially supported by NCN Grant No 2015/17/B/ST1/01102 (2016-2019) whereas P. Liu was partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 200021-166274.

References

[1] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, and J.L. Teugels. *Regular variation*, volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[2] K. Burnecki and Z. Michna. Simulation of Pickands constants. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 22(1):193–199, 2002.

[3] K. Dębicki and Z. Palmowski. On-off fluid models in heavy traffic environment. *Queueing Systems Theory Appl.*, 33(4):327–338, 1999.

[4] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and P. Liu. Ruin probabilities and passage times of γ-reflected Gaussian process with stationary increments. *http://arXiv.org/abs/1511.09234*, 2015.

[5] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and P. Liu. Uniform tail approximation of homogenous functionals of Gaussian fields. *http://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.01430.pdf*, 2016.

[6] K. Dębicki. Ruin probability for Gaussian integrated processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 98(1):151–174, 2002.

[7] K. Dębicki and K. Kosiński. On the infimum attained by the reflected fractional Brownian motion. *Extremes*, 17(3):431–446, 2014.

[8] K. Dębicki and P. Liu. Extremes of stationary Gaussian storage models. *Extremes*, 19(2):273–302, 2016.

[9] K. Dębicki and M. Mandjes. Exact overflow asymptotics for queues with many Gaussian inputs. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 40(3):704–720, 2003.

[10] K. Dębicki and T. Rolski. A note on transient Gaussian fluid models. *Queueing Systems Theory Appl.*, 41(4):321–342, 2002.

[11] A. B. Dieker. Extremes of Gaussian processes over an infinite horizon. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 115(2):207–248, 2005.

[12] A. B. Dieker and T. Mikosch. Exact simulation of Brown-Resnick random fields at a finite number of locations. *Extremes*, 18:301–314, 2015.

[13] A. B. Dieker and B. Yakir. On asymptotic constants in the theory of Gaussian processes. *Bernoulli*, 20(3):1600–1619, 2014.

[14] A.J. Harper. Bounds on the suprema of Gaussian processes, and omega results for the sum of a random multiplicative function. *Ann. Appl. Probab*, 23:584–616, 2013.

[15] A.J. Harper. Pickands' constant H_α does not equal $1/\Gamma(1/\alpha)$, for small α. *Bernoulli, in press*, 2015.

[16] E. Hashorva. Representations of max-stable processes via exponential tilting. *http://arXiv:1605.03208v2*, 2016.

[17] E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and V. I. Piterbarg. On the supremum of γ-reflected processes with fractional Brownian motion as input. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(11):4111–4127, 2013.

[18] J. Hüsler and V. I. Piterbarg. Extremes of a certain class of Gaussian processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 83(2):257–271, 1999.

[19] J. Hüsler and V.I. Piterbarg. On the ruin probability for physical fractional Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 113(2):315–332, 2004.

[20] M. Mandjes. *Large deviations for Gaussian queues*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2007. Modelling communication networks.

[21] M. Mandjes, I. Norros, and P. Glynn. On convergence to stationarity of fractional Brownian storage. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 19(4):1385–1403, 2009.

[22] Z. Michna. On tail probabilities and first passage times for fractional Brownian motion. *Math. Methods Oper. Res.*, 49(2):335–354, 1999.

[23] T. Mikosch and G. Samorodnitsky. Scaling limits for cumulative input processes. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 32(4):890–918, 2007.

[24] I. Norros. A storage model with self-similar input. *Queueing Systems Theory Appl.*, 16(3-4):387–396, 1994.

[25] J. Pickands, III. Maxima of stationary Gaussian processes. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 7:190–223, 1967.

[26] J. Pickands, III. Upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145:51–73, 1969.

[27] V. I. Piterbarg. *Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields*, volume 148 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[28] V. I. Piterbarg. Large deviations of a storage process with fractional Browanian motion as input. *Extremes*, 4:147–164, 2001.

[29] V. I. Piterbarg. *Twenty Lectures About Gaussian Processes*. Atlantic Financial Press, London, New York, 2015.

[30] V. I. Piterbarg and V. P. Prisjažnjuk. Asymptotic behavior of the probability of a large excursion for a nonstationary Gaussian process. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Mat. Statist.*, (18):121–134, 183, 1978.

[31] W. Rudin. *Principles of mathematical analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Auckland-Düsseldorf, third edition, 1976. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[32] Q.M. Shao. Bounds and estimators of a basic constant in extreme value theory of Gaussian processes. *Statistica Sinica*, 6:245–258, 1996.

[33] M. Taqqu, W. Willinger, and R. Sherman. Proof of a fundamental result in self-similar traffic modeling. *Comput. Comnm. Rev.*, 27:5–23, 1997.

[34] W. Willinger, M. S. Taqqu, R. Sherman, and D. Wilson. Self-similarity through high variability: statistical analysis of ethernet lan traffic at the source level. *Comput. Comnm. Rev.*, 25:100–113, 1995.

Krzysztof Dębicki, Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail address: Krzysztof.Debicki@math.uni.wroc.pl

Peng Liu, Department of Actuarial Science, University of Lausanne, UNIL-Dorigny 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
E-mail address: peng.liu@unil.ch